Transitional Authority and Inclusive Governance in Nigeria

By

Daniel B. Inyikalum
Department of Political Science
University of Port Harcourt
chibuikedaniel69@gmail.com
&
Onuoha Peter Chuks
Department of Political Science
Rivers State University
Chuks.onuoha@ust.edu.ng
08038929131

Abstract

Transitional Authority and inclusive governance were the subjects of the study. The main goal of this study was to find out how inclusive governance and the Transitional Authority have helped Nigeria achieve socioeconomic development. It was expressed that the requirement for powerful momentary power, comprehensive and great administration has ruled the front burner of Nigeria's advancement talk in the beyond couple of many years. This is due to its crucial role in development, poverty elimination, and especially the upholding of justice, equity, and fairness. Consequently, the review embraced the Popularity based hypothesis as propounded by Joseph Schumpeter. According to Schumpeter's theory, a system's rulers should be chosen by the ruled or their representatives in order to be considered democratic. By this standard, one of the fundamental capabilities expected of the electorate in a majority rules system is that of creating the public authority. Thus, to accomplish Temporary Power and comprehensive administration there is need to take on just goals and standards. The qualitative approach to data collection and analysis was used in this study, with an emphasis on content analysis. The study's findings showed that the Nigerian government hasn't made a lot of progress over the years because she doesn't think inclusive governance is important to the country's long-term, sustainable development. Administration is comprehensive when it really serves and connects all individuals; takes gender and other aspects

of personal identity into account; and when all members of society have access to institutions, policies, procedures, and services that are accountable to them and responsive to them; This appears to be a significant issue for the Nigerian government. As a result of the aforementioned findings, the study recommends promoting inclusive governance and sound anti-corruption policies. Additionally, the legislature and judicial arm should be operational and ready to fulfill their responsibilities, as a healthy and sound legislature and judiciary are essential to democratic governance.

Key words: Transitional Authority, inclusive governance, good governance, development

Background to the study

Over the past few decades, the need for inclusive and effective transitional authority and good governance has dominated the development discourse in Nigeria. This is due to its crucial role in development, poverty elimination, and especially the upholding of justice, equity, and fairness. In addition, this is now absolutely necessary due to the fact that good and inclusive governance's ethos and principles are essential for long-term growth this is according to (Porter and Sabine, 2000)

The capacity of the government to develop appropriate policies, make timely decisions, effectively implement those policies, and provide services is known as good governance. the degree which an administration is seen and acknowledged as genuine, focused on working on the public government assistance and responsiveness to the necessities of the residents; capable of maintaining law and order and providing public services, able to foster an environment that encourages productive activities, and fair in its conduct.

According to Piece (2012), citizen participation in decision-making, consensus building (where decisions are based on widespread agreement), transparency in the decision-making process, responsiveness to the needs of the citizenry, the effective and efficient provision of basic services, and ensuring equitability and inclusiveness in the distribution of resources are all associated with good governance. Likewise, most unfamiliar direct venture inflow is predicated on the

act of good administration and Worldwide giver organizations are bound to guide their assets to nations with demonstrated track of good administration and compelling temporary power. As a result, the aforementioned analysis implies effective state institutions for the formulation and implementation of national development policies and goals aimed at achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs).

The need for a powerful momentary power and comprehensive administration is of more central worry to non-industrial nations in Africa because of their low degree of accomplishment of the SDGs, underdevelopment and disturbing pace of destitution. It is pertinent to note that Nigeria's high level of underdevelopment and high proportion of people living in extreme poverty make the need for good governance even more pressing.

Rivers State paradoxically represents one of the extreme situations of underdevelopment and poverty, lacking virtually all indices of development (Ibaba, 2005), despite the obvious and abundant resources (including crude oil and gas, which is the source of the wealth of the country and generates over 90% of foreign exchange and government revenues).

According to studies, the widespread level of underdevelopment and the high rate of poverty in the country are a logical consequence of the peculiar nature of Nigerian federalism, which has been shaped by ethnic based political dominance, as well as a logical consequence of the absence of an effective transitional authority. In a similar vein, Okoko and Nna (1997) also hold the same viewpoint, arguing, for instance, that "the plight of the oil producing area is a reflection of the political dominance and economic marginalization by the major ethnic groups," both of which have inexorably contributed to the sub region’s current unfavorable state of underdevelopment and poverty.

As a result, one of the most formidable challenges facing the Nigerian State is the significance of efficient transitional governance. The absence of functioning institutions of governance steered by accountable leaders and supported by the governed exacerbates this problem further.

Statement of the problem

Notwithstanding every one of the social and monetary strategies that have been executed by progressive organizations in Nigeria, the nation has stayed a loafer in friendly, financial and political turns of events. Due to the absence of an effective transitional authority and inclusive governance, political instability, extreme poverty, high youth unemployment, poor health prospects, widespread malnourishment, and a high crime rate have become the primary characteristics of Nigeria's political economy (Whaites, 2017). The absence of democracy, good governance, and the sporadic involvement of the military in politics is one of the main reasons why all development programs in Nigeria have failed. However, with the benefit of hindsight and as evidenced by the present experience, even periods of civil rule from 1960 to 1966, 1979 to 1983, and up to the present—were unsuccessful.

The failure of the developmental state, which promised to do much in line with Africans' aspirations for independence, was perhaps the continent's biggest disaster. This failure demonstrated that states lacked capacity and competence, as well as significant issues with development management that were ineffective and inefficient. The results of this disappointment has been grave as appeared in the broad and extended financial downfall, social help rot, broad destitution, social mature, vicious struggles and out-relocation (World Bank 2017).

By the late 1980s, a rethinking of the development discourse had taken place as a result of Africa's state, governance, and development crises, particularly with regard to the continued roles of the state, the need for private sector-driven development, and the potential roles of the non-state sector in development efforts. International organizations, international civil society organizations, development agencies, and donor agencies were interested in supporting and funding civil society organizations (CSOs) in the third world as part of efforts to deconstruct state centralized and top-down development, to strengthen private sector-driven development initiatives, to promote participatory development, and to scale back and divest roles from failing post-colonial states (United Nations, 2017).

Tragically, because of unfortunate administration, the common society is credited with the job of giving basic social government assistance, social administrations, philanthropic administrations, financial strengthening, political cooperation, human resources advancement and useful monetary exercises. In any case, more significantly, it has turned into the famous specialist for founding responsibility, straightforwardness and great administration, controlling state mishandles, opposing the untamed impacts of market influences and reinforcing public examination (OECD 2014).

In point of fact, the variety of initiatives taken by the formation of civil society in relation to crucial aspects of human and national existence has given the impression that it is essential to governance at the local, national, and international levels, the alleviation of societal problems, and the production of sustainable development. According to Ibrahim (2002), governments and the international community have a tendency to embrace Civil Society in Nigeria with high hopes and potentials as capable of redressing the postcolonial states' poor governance, lack of effective transitional authority, and inclusive governance, as well as contributing significantly to sustainable development.

As a result, inclusion is a top priority in international development in this study in terms of both the process (how decisions are made and who is included in that process and how and why) and the outcomes (how wealth and prosperity are distributed and shared across a population and why). The Sustainable Development Goals are perhaps the most ambitious articulation of this. Administration is thought to be comprehensive when it actually serves and connects all individuals; takes gender and other aspects of personal identity into account; and when institutions, policies, procedures, and services are available to all members of society, accountable to them, and responsive to them (Madhav, 2007).

Comprehensive administration, then, at that point, is when public or provincial states empower the contribution of residents in dynamic cycles. For the rules that apply to those functions. Citizens' trust in the government can be strengthened when this is done with political and legal integrity. In addition, it requires that all social groups have access to opportunities to enhance and sustain their well-being.

It implies giving an entryway to all and treating all similarly. According to Ajayi (2006), everyone should have the chance to take advantage of government benefits.

Theoretical framework

The Democratic theory

The Majority rule hypothesis propounded by Joseph Schumpeter has been taken on as the structure of examination in this paper. Schumpeter, through his "Free enterprise, Communism and A majority rules system" (1954) has propounded a more present day hypothesis of a majority rules government in light of what has been broadly alluded to as the liberal perspective on majority rules system (pluralist hypothesis). Putnam (1993), citing Schumpeter, asserts that;

The classical theory of democracy, which stated that all adult males contributed to the formulation of public policy, was flawed to the point where it was unable to provide a modern explanation for the idea of popular participation. Further, Schumpeter contends that, at best, it was methodologically adequate for explaining behavior in small primitive communities where face-to-face relationships predominate and political issues are straightforward (Putnam, 1993). According to Schumpeter's theory, a system's rulers should be chosen by the ruled or their representatives in order to be considered democratic. By this standard, one of the fundamental capabilities expected of the electorate in a majority rules system is that of creating the public authority. Further, according to Schumpeter (quoted in Putnam, 1993), the democratic voter is expected to respect the political division of labor by delegating decisions or issues (in government) to the leaders they have elected.

The latter holds that democracy is a mechanism by which the political system is kept in balance. According to him, "not only elections but periodic elections" is another requirement for the proper establishment of representative democracy in any society. This is where ideological groups assume significant parts in the choice of possibility for such races. The electorate is expected to be able to exert some control over the actions of governments through elections (this is why the masses are referred to as sovereign in a democracy; People are the ones who have power. The contemporary (representative) practice of democratic competition between

candidates from various political parties is brought to light by this. One more part of Schumpeter's hypothesis, which has direct holding on for our work, is his accommodation that residents ought to be free in a majority rules system to seek administrative roles by introducing themselves as competitors. Also, Schumpeter adds the vote based nature of responsibility of rulers to the managed or their delegates (Ntalaja, 2000). Schumpeter argues that even though a government may be sensitive to "the views of church leaders, industrialists...trade union officials," this does not make it "susceptible to popular control..." (Ntalaja, 2000), as though he were predicting the nature of democratic practice, particularly in former colonies.

The virtues of stability (consolidation), efficiency, and tolerance, which must be secured by institutional safeguards for a polity to be properly ascribed the prefix "democratic," are added by Schumpeter to these democratic qualities. This serves as the starting point for examining Nigeria's democratic consolidation in light of the character and conduct of its political parties, which contribute to the state's stability. Therefore, demonstrating the existing levels of participation, representation, and accountability in a polity is the core of Schumpeter's theory in a democracy.

Democracy, as defined by Ntalaja (2000), is a mechanism by which the political system is kept in balance. According to Yagboyaju (2012), democracy is a system of government that, as a concept, is characterized by the participation of the people through freely elected representatives and the recognition and promotion of the fundamental rights of citizens, including the rights of vulnerable groups like minorities. According to Dilly (2005), who argues that the central aspect of democracy is ensuring that power actually belongs to the people, this basically has to do with the people's ability to control decision-making. Dahl (2000) brought up two elements of a majority rules government that, a vote based system in principle (as an ideal, objective, point or standard) and in rehearses. This brings us to the idea of political parties, which is the ideal foundation for democracy as a norm and a system.

On the other hand, according to Lemay (2001), a political party is "any group of politically active persons outside of a government who organize to capture

government by nominating and electing officials who thereby control the operations of government and determine its policies."

As a result, democratic theory has contributed to our comprehension of the necessity of transitional authority and inclusive governance for any nation seeking to overcome underdevelopment's obstacles and embrace rapid socioeconomic development's benefits.

Concept of authority and transitional Authority

Authority can be characterized as the legitimate right of an individual or better than order his subordinates. Then again, responsibility alludes to the obligation of a person to do his presentation according to the organization norms. The course of the progression of power is from the bosses to subordinates.

Authority is portrayed as the regulated and lawful power intrinsic in a task job, or position that permits the holder of the task to successfully play out their obligations. It is appointed formally and legitimately. The term "authority" refers to a specific authorization that a person has obtained from their superior officer and is the basis upon which they are authorized to perform work in an organization. It's crucial for administrative tasks. Without power, no individual can do his obligations with full liability.

As a result, Obadan (1998) asserts that as an industrializing nation modernizes its economy, it undergoes a number of changes. In most cases, such a nation expands its population and improves the effectiveness of its political institutions in addition to increasing its wealth and industrial strength. An industrializing nation also increases its power, i.e., its capacity to influence the behavior of other nations, as economic development, population size, social mobility, and political mobilization are among the primary determinants of national power. It experiences a "power transition."

As a result, the processes of governance and the actual application of authority are what give the state's powers their meaning and substance. According to Odunuga (2003), transitional authority is defined as "the manner in which power is exercised and transferred especially to a civilian regime in the management of a country's

economic and social resources for development." The World Bank defines this concept in this regard.

Subsequently, under the setting of momentary power, when imagined from a wide perspective, is a temporary government, likewise called a break government, a crisis government, or a momentary government, is a crisis legislative power set up to deal with a political change commonly in the instances of new countries or following the breakdown of the past overseeing organization.

Concept of good and inclusive governance

Administration is comprehensive when it really serves and connects all individuals; takes gender and other aspects of personal identity into account; and when all members of society are made aware of, accountable for, and responsive to institutions, policies, procedures, and services. Administration is a term utilized generally to portray the activity of real power which directs society's capabilities. It enables their survival. Therefore, inclusive governance entails allowing citizens to participate in decision-making processes when national or regional governments do so. For the rules that apply to those functions. Citizens' trust in the government can be strengthened when this is done with political and legal integrity. It helps reach agreements that more people agree with. Has a more fair-minded administration handled as well as to accomplish more impartial results.

Administration is characterized as the most common way of practicing state power particularly in the administration and organization of state undertakings by individuals endowed with state authority (Fagbadebo, 2007). Governance is an articulate way that political leadership participates in policymaking and decides how power is used to embody transparency, accountability, and resource management that is rational and prudent. Additionally, governance is the process of utilizing authority, exercising power, and appropriating state resources for the common good. It is a collection of processes, relationships, and institutions that are all connected to each other and help citizens and groups define and exercise their rights, fulfill their obligations, and mediate disagreements. From this point of view, governance could be rated as either good or bad. Democratic governance cannot exist without good governance; terrible administration had been connected with imperious systems.

Therefore, the terms "governance" and "good governance" are used interchangeably in this study to refer to a democratic nation's strict adherence to the tenets of its constitution in its interactions with its citizens and in the exercise of state power and authority in the management and administration of state affairs. As a result, it involves, among other things, a credible election, transparency, accountability, equity, the rule of law, equity, justice, and freedom of speech. Politically, people may not agree on the best way to achieve good governance, but they do agree that it is absolutely necessary for social and economic progress (Oburota, 2003). Then, at that point, what is administration and what makes administration a decent or a terrible one? This is maybe a philosophical inquiry which might draw in unending and diverse responses. The fundamental issue of good versus bad is one of ethics and morality.

Good governance, according to Madhav (2007), must be evaluated in light of any established standards and goals because it has a significant impact on governance's ethical foundation. It examines the functioning of a particular segment of society from the perspective of its acknowledged stakeholders, customers, and beneficiaries. It must be firmly anchored in particular moral principles and values. The inquiry managing administration, however fundamentally connected with a majority rules government, is culture explicit and framework bound. Individual and group preferences, current issues, the expectations of the governed, the nature and type of the political system, the ideological and religious predisposition of the state, and a host of other factors play a significant role. It is also heavily influenced by a nation's stated political and economic objectives. For instance, the Nigerian constitution's fundamental objective principle serves as a standard for evaluating good governance. "The Federal Republic of Nigeria shall be a state based on the principles of democracy and social justice," reads Section 14(1).

Therefore, fundamentally, an understanding of the state's essence, which is not only embodied in the constitution but also a function of religious ideals and the nature of the state's current issues, is necessary to describe governance as good and determine whether it is bad. The primary concern of earlier philosophers like Aristotle, Plato, Rousseau, and others was the question of the state's essence. According to section 16(2 b) of the Nigerian constitution, these early thinkers

considered the promotion of the common good to be the essence of the state. As a result, the public good is the primary responsibility of public authorities.

Policymakers and practitioners alike are paying more attention to the idea of inclusive governance (IG). The process of carrying everyone in the polity along on the basis of equity, fairness, and justice can be defined as inclusive governance, particularly when it pertains to the achievement of the noble end of the state. As a result, inclusive governance simply refers to the practice of governing a people within a particular territory or state in accordance with democratic principles and fairness. It is made up of two essential parts of the state: the structure of the state and the procedures of the legislative, judicial, and executive branches of government at all levels. In a nutshell, inclusive governance continues to be a state in operation. According to Ibrahim (2002), "the control of an activity by some means such that a range of desired outcomes by all is attained" is the definition of inclusive governance.

Methodology

The study adopted the qualitative research method and therefore employed the use of ex-post facto research design and the desk-based analysis method otherwise referred to as content analysis as the method of data analysis

Results and Discussion

Transitional authority and Inclusive Governance in Nigeria

When evaluating Nigeria's transitional authority and inclusive governance, it is important to remember that "good governance" became a top international priority in the early 1990s. Therefore, for the Nigerian state to advance, it must recognize that inclusive governance is essential to the country's long-term sustainable development. Administration is comprehensive when it really serves and connects all individuals; takes gender and other aspects of personal identity into account; and when all members of society have access to institutions, policies, procedures, and services that are accountable to them and responsive to them; This appears to be a significant issue for the Nigerian government. In Nigeria, advancing democratic values like peaceful pluralism, respect for diversity, human rights, and equality before the law requires fostering inclusive governance.

State management of complex issues like inequality, urbanization, migration, violence, natural resources, and climate change are influenced by governance. By encouraging governance that is more inclusive, Nigeria can ensure that no one is left behind in its responses to these challenges at any level. Nigeria must believe that countries can realize the potential of their diverse populations by putting an emphasis on inclusion. Administration is a term utilized generally to portray the activity of real power which directs society's capabilities. It enables their survival. Therefore, inclusive governance entails allowing citizens to participate in decision-making processes when national or regional governments do so.

Citizens' trust in the government can be strengthened when inclusive governance and transitional authority are carried out with political and legal integrity. It helps come to agreements that are supported by more people. In addition to achieving outcomes that are more equitable, more impartial governance has also been implemented. The ability of policies to reflect the material (experienced) and affective (emotional) conditions of the everyday is yet another reason why inclusive governance is so crucial. There is frequently a gap between what policymakers want and what is feasible and equitable "on the ground."

Empowering residents to partake in dynamic through temporary Power and comprehensive administration opens space for more reasonable and fitting activity. by including information from people who might be employed in that field. In turn, this would make it easier for new policies to fit the ideas. Additionally, with the expertise and knowledge of citizens in these areas, it is likely to be more efficient and long-lasting. Every Nigerian citizen ought to have the sense of belonging to the nation and the authority to safeguard or enhance their own well-being as well as that of their communities. Momentary Power and comprehensive administration in its most basic definition is about decency.

Therefore, the goal of inclusive governance and transitional authority is to foster democracy among all stakeholders, including citizens. About revising policies to ensure that everyone is treated fairly in the material consequences. A population's prosperity should be shared by all, and inclusive governance is one way to start. For the country's socioeconomic development, the Nigerian state must strive to develop and implement the principles of good and inclusive governance.

Conclusion

The concepts and significance of inclusive governance and transitional authority to the state's overall development have been critically examined in this study. The study is based on Schumpter's democratic theory and believes that effective democracy and inclusive governance will not only improve justice, fairness, and equity but will also help the country develop.

As a result, it was stated that inclusive governance entails ensuring representation and participation in decision-making processes in order to achieve more equitable policy outcomes. There has been significant advancement in the execution of good and comprehensive administration in sub-Saharan Africa in the previous 10 years.

Policy Suggestions

Based on the Foregoing, the Following Suggestions Are Proposed:

1. Since a functioning judicial branch and a functioning legislature are prerequisites for democratic governance, inclusive governance and sound anti-corruption policies must be supported by functioning legislatures and judicial branches.
2. It is necessary for civil society and the state to acknowledge each other's roles, responsibilities, and obligations. Government has essential obligation regarding administration and the definition and execution of public arrangement. The CSOs have liability to investigate crafted by government, make data sources and backer change, choices, cures and progress; this is particularly with respect to guaranteeing that there is comprehensive administration.
3. The government is responsible for bringing together citizens and resources for development. Therefore, utilizing the non-state sector's energy, resources, and efforts to achieve her development goals is one way the government can accomplish this by ensuring effective transitional authority and inclusive governance.
4. When it comes to policymaking and implementation, programming, monitoring, impact assessments, and spending, the government must be transparent. Citizens and CSOs need governments to be more transparent and accountable to them so that they can participate in discussions, debates, and scrutiny. Public programs' policies, procedures, and operations must be made public.

References

Ajayi, R. (2006) —Reflections on democratic succession in Nigeria *Covenant Journal of Business and Social Sciences.* Vol. 1 (1). December. pp. 49-62.

Ake, C (1980) ''The Present Crisis in Africa: Economic Crisis or a Crisis of the state?'' in Ihonvbere, J. (ed) *The Political Economy of Crisis and Underdevelopment in Africa: Selected Works of Claude Ake.* Lagos: JAD Publishers.

Dahl, R. A. (2000) 'A Democracy Paradox?'. Political Science Quarterly, Vol. 115(1). P.38

Dilly,C.(2005) Democracy and the Electoral Process in Nigeria. Ibadan University Press.

Ekei J.C (2003). "Governance in Traditional Africa: Implications for a Nascent Modern African Polity" in Oguejiofor J Obi (Ed.) Philosophy, Democracy and Responsible Governance in Africa, New Brunswick and London, Transaction Publishers pp. 445-456.

Fagbadebo, O. (2007). Corruption, governance and political institution in Nigeria *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations, 1* (2).

Ibaba, S. I. (2005). *Understanding the Niger Delta crisis*. Port Harcourt: Amethyst & Colleagues Publishers

Ibrahim, J (2002) ''Consolidation of Democracy and Minority Rights in Nigeria: Religion, Sharia and the 1999 Constitution'' Paper for Politics of Development Group (PODSU), Department of Political Science, University of Stockholm Book on Rights of Groups and Differentiated Citizenship.

Lemay, M. C. (2001) Public Administration. California: Wadsworth

Madhav G (2007). Report of the one man Comittee on Good Governance, July Human Right Watch (2007) "Election or "Selection"? Human Rights Abuse and Threats to Free and Fair Elections in Nigeria" http://hrw.org/backgrounder/africa/nigeria0407/index.ht.

Ntalaja, G. N. (2000) 'Democracy and Development in Africa'. African Centre for Democratic Governance, Abuja, Nigeria

Obadan M. I (1998). The State, Leadership, Governance and Economic Development, A Presidential Address Delivered at the Annual Conference of the Nigerian Economic Society, Kano. The National Centre for Economic Management and Administration (NCEMA).

Oburota A (2003). "Governance as a Source of Social Violence in Africa" in Oguejiofor J Obi (Ed.) Philosophy, Democracy and Responsible Governance in Africa, New Brunswick and London, Transaction Publishers pp. 388-398.

OECD (2014). Accountability and democratic governance: Orientations and principles for development, DAC guidelines and reference series, OECD publishing.

Okoko, K. & Nna, J. N. (1996). *Revenue allocation and the oil producing states in Alapiki, H.E. (eds) perspective on socio-political and economic development in Nigeria*. Owerri: Nigeria.

Piece, T. T. (2015). *Government and development UN system task team o the post- 2015 UN Development Agenda*. Nigeria.

Porter, D. & Sabine, B. (2000). In Ademola Azeez (2009), *Contesting governance in Nigeria: legitimacy and accountability perspective*. Lagos: Nigeria Press Ltd.

Putnam, R. (1993) Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy. Princeton N.J: Princeton University Press.

Schumpter J. (1983) the theory of economic development. New York: Transaction Press

Swanstrom, N and M. Weismann (2005) Conflict, Conflict Prevention, Conflict Management and Beyond: A conceptual exploration, Central Asia-Caucasus Institute & Silk Road Studies Program: Washington, D.C.

United Nations (2017). Peace, justice, and strong institutions: Why they matter.

Whaites, A. (2017). Institutions, politics and aid: Challenges from the literature. National School of Government International, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, London.

Whaites, A., Teskey, G., Fyson, S. and Gonzalez. E. (2015). A governance practitioner's notebook: Alternative ideas and approaches. OECD publishing.

World Bank (2017). World Development Report 2017: Governance and the law

Yagboyaju, D.A. (2012). "Party System, Democratization and National Development in Nigeria's Fourth Republic: An Appraisal of the Interconnections". Research in Business and Management Journal, 1 (2), 54 – 61.