# The Use of Technology in Contemporary Music Creation

**By**

**Albert O.U. Authority**
**Department Of Music, Faculty of Humanities**
**Post Graduate School**
**Ignatius Ajuru University of Education**
**Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt**
dr.albert.oau@gmail.com
08030684957

## Abstract

This study examined the pivotal role of technology in shaping the contemporary music landscape. It discussed how advancements in digital audio workstations (DAWs) and software instruments have democratized music production, enabling artists to produce professional-quality recordings conveniently from their homes. Moreover, the proliferation of sample libraries and virtual instruments has broadened the sonic palette available to musicians, fostering experimentation with various sounds and genres. Additionally, technology has revolutionized music distribution and consumption through online streaming platforms and social media. The study outlined three objectives and three research questions, employing qualitative methods to gather data, and adopting Rogers' Diffusion of Innovation theory as a framework. Furthermore, it explored how algorithmic recommendation systems have personalized music discovery, amplifying the visibility of niche genres and independent artists. The study also addressed concerns regarding the omnipresence of technology in music creation, including challenges related to authenticity, creativity, copyright infringement, and digital piracy. In conclusion, while recognizing technology's immense potential for innovation and accessibility in music creation, the study emphasized the critical need for ethical reflection and examination of its impact on artistic integrity and economic sustainability. Drawing from its findings, the study proposed recommendations for industry stakeholders, policymakers, and educators, such as promoting digital literacy, fostering innovation and diversity in music production, and advocating for ethical and inclusive practices in the digital music landscape.

**Keywords:** Contemporary, Creation, Digital-Literacy, Innovation, Technology,

**Introduction**

The use of technology in contemporary music creation stands as a transformative force, reshaping the landscape of musical expression and composition. This phenomenon represents a dynamic intersection where artistic innovation and technological advancement converge, giving rise to unprecedented possibilities and pushing the boundaries of sonic exploration. In the 21st century, technology has become an integral and ubiquitous tool for musicians, producers, and composers, fundamentally altering the creative process and the way music is produced, distributed, and experienced. Caramiaux (2019) opined that "the advent of digital tools and software has revolutionized the traditional paradigms of music composition (p. 69)". Composers and musicians now wield a vast array of electronic instruments, synthesizers, and cutting-edge software that empower them to transcend the limitations of conventional instrumentation. According to Clarke and Manning, (2020), "the democratization of technology has dismantled the barriers to entry, allowing individuals to create music from the comfort of their own spaces, often without the need for elaborate studios or extensive physical instruments (p. 302)". The result is a democratized creative process that opens the doors to a more diverse array of voices contributing to global musical advancement.

Contemporary music creation is marked by the fusion of electronic elements with traditional instrumentation, leading to the development of hybrid genres and novel sonic landscapes. Artists are increasingly exploring avant-garde soundscapes, experimenting with non-traditional sounds, and pushing the boundaries of genre conventions. The sonic palette available to creators has expanded exponentially, giving rise to a sonic diversity that reflects the multifaceted nature of contemporary musical expression. The collaborative potential facilitated by technology has redefined the way musicians interact and create. Furthermore, Collins (2023) stressed that "virtual collaborations, made feasible through online platforms and digital communication tools, have become a defining feature of contemporary music creation (p. 57)". Musicians from different corners of the globe can seamlessly collaborate, transcending

geographical distances and cultural boundaries. This interconnectedness not only broadens the scope of artistic collaboration within a nation but also enables cross-cultural exchanges on a global scale. The resulting fusion of diverse musical influences enriches the artistic discourse and contributes to the evolution of a globalized yet distinct musical identity.

Miranda (2020) also contented that "the myriad possibilities technology brings, also introduces challenges that demand thoughtful consideration (pp. 90-99)". The risk of homogenization, where indigenous musical elements may be overshadowed by global influences, poses a significant concern. Striking a balance between embracing technological innovation and preserving cultural authenticity becomes imperative to ensure a harmonious integration of advancements into the fabric of contemporary music. Ethical considerations surrounding the use of technology in music creation further underscore the complexities of this intersection. Questions of intellectual property, fair use, and the ethical implications of sampling and digital manipulation require ongoing dialogue within the music community. Navigating these ethical dimensions is crucial to maintaining integrity and fostering a responsible approach to technology in music creation.

Woods, (2023) emphasized "the use of technology in contemporary music creation which signifies a paradigm shift that transcends conventional boundaries and unlocks new dimensions of artistic expression (p. 119)". The democratization of technology, the fusion of electronic and traditional elements, and the global interconnectedness of musicians mark this era as a transformative moment in the history of music. As technology continues to evolve, so too will its impact on music creation, shaping the future soundscape and pushing the boundaries of creative possibilities.

Music, a timeless and integral aspect of human existence, has played diverse roles throughout history, ranging from setting the tone for the bloodiest battles to providing emotional release during grand celebrations. It serves as a vital creative outlet, standing alongside the visual arts in its significance. Brøvig and Danielsen, (2023) assert that "technology has been a driving force in the evolution of music, enabling the creation of new instruments, innovative sound production methods,

and the notation and recording of musical compositions (pp. 190-192)". The field of music has held immense importance, adapting to various scenarios and purposes throughout human history.

Walzer, (2023) argued further "whether serving as a tool for motivation, entertainment, relaxation, or celebration, music has consistently been a pervasive presence. As humanity has progressed, so has music, with distinct tuning systems, instrumentation, traditions, and customs evolving across different cultures globally (p. 203)".

In the 19th and 20th centuries, the advent of communication technologies like the Internet and digital information representation, coupled with a trend toward globalization, significantly influenced the trajectory of music. While many traditional practices have endured and flourished independently, the integration of technology and communication has ushered in new possibilities for engaging with music, especially in the realms of creative expression, teaching, and learning.

**Statement of the Problem**

The integration of technology in contemporary music creation raises intricate challenges related to cultural authenticity, ethical considerations, and the transformative impact on musical genres. These challenges include the risk of homogenization, ethical dilemmas in technology use, and questions about the role of traditional instruments. Addressing these issues is essential for fostering responsible and sustainable practices in the evolving landscape of technology-driven music creation.

**Aim and Objectives of the Study**

The study aims to:

1. Explore how technology shapes the sonic landscape of contemporary music.
2. Investigate ethical challenges in music creation using technology.
3. Analyze the transformative impact of technology on musical collaboration and global exchanges.

**Research Questions**

1. How does technology influence the sonic landscape of contemporary music?
2. What ethical challenges arise in music creation concerning the preservation of cultural authenticity?
3. How has technology transformed musical collaboration and global exchanges in the contemporary music scene?

**Significance of the Study**

This study explores the multifaceted impact of technology on contemporary music creation in Nigeria. It delves into the challenges and opportunities presented by technological advancements, examining their influence on sonic aesthetics, collaboration processes, and the delicate balance between innovation and cultural authenticity. The research targets musicians, educators, technology developers, cultural researchers, and the public, aiming to provide valuable insights for enhancing creative expression, education, and technological innovation. The study's significance lies in fostering a deeper understanding of the evolving relationship between technology and music, contributing to cultural preservation, educational advancement, and informed technological developments in the dynamic landscape of Nigerian art music.

**Literature Review - A Brief History of Music and Technology**

Throughout the history of music, the ability to notate has played a crucial role in preserving and transporting music across different places. This has led to two fundamental requirements: archiving music and distributing it. According to Hosken, (2014) notation has empowered composers to include performance instructions beyond note durations and pitches, its limitation lies in the need for a musician to transform the score into audible sound (p. 89)". Notation, though integral to all forms of music and related activities, becomes a limiting factor on its own, requiring resources and education for collaborative writing and multi-musician performances. Nevertheless, despite these constraints, people have found ways to express themselves and entertain others in the creation and performance of music. Significantly, thousands of years ago, singing was extensively used, passed down through generations within families and often accompanied by rudimentary instruments.

In the late 19th century, a wave of technological innovations laid the groundwork for modern recording technology. Pioneers like Thomas Edison, Alexander Graham Bell, and Emile Berliner spearheaded this revolution. By the 1920s, gramophones and analog radio broadcasts marked the emergence of a major music industry, selling up to 100 million records annually. Prior to this, music consumption was primarily through live performances or studying scores. The ability to capture and preserve musical performances in the early 20th century marked a transformative era for musicians and consumers. Innovations such as multi-track recording and overdubbing by Les Paul provided unprecedented creative freedom. Musicians could now record multiple sound sources simultaneously, altering the dynamics of music recording and removing previous barriers to creativity.

In the 1970s and 1980s, the music industry underwent significant technological shifts with the integration of analog and digital electronics. Synthesizers became mainstream, allowing solo artists like Stevie Wonder to independently produce albums. The 1980s saw the transition from analog to digital technologies, introducing the Compact Disc (CD) and MIDI to the consumer and musician. This evolution enabled musicians to have a virtual orchestra or band and a recording studio in their homes, facilitated by personal computers. The digital revolution, marked by the rise of the Internet and digital information, transformed music creation, distribution, and consumption. Musicians could collaborate in real-time or asynchronously using networking technologies. The ability to share music online through platforms like iTunes, Google Play, and Spotify, combined with high-ratio audio compression technologies like MP3, resulted in a democratization of music creation and distribution.

Furthermore, Katz, (2022) agreed that the “digital music revolution, characterized by a "direct access relationship" between musicians and audiences, brought about a mass democratization (p. 89)”. However, commercial success still relies on legacy, brand, resources, and established networks maintained by record companies and music promoters. The consumption, production, and teaching of music have undergone significant changes in the last decade, reflecting the evolving landscape of the music industry.

**Technology and Musical Creativity**

According to Crooke, (2018), "technological advancements have significantly impacted the composition and creation of music (p. 5). These changes have revolutionized the production of sounds and the expression of musical ideas, notably with the introduction of synthesizers and MIDI control devices in the 1980s, as well as the continuous evolution of microphones and the advent of Virtual Studio Technology (VST) software and digital audio workstations. In this dynamic landscape, musicians, akin to painters, are constantly presented with an expanding palette of new colors and textures, while the dimensions and characteristics of their canvas can be effortlessly altered and reshaped.

In this evolving musical landscape, the roles of engineers and producers have arguably become more pivotal than ever. These professionals have honed their skills in recording and manipulating sounds, developing unique trademarks and styles. Intriguingly, despite engineers and producers emerging as creative practitioners, the once well-defined boundaries between the roles of artist, engineer, and producer have blurred to the extent that they are often indistinguishable today.

**Technology and Music Education**

Perhaps unsurprisingly, those on the music engineering and technology end of the spectrum were quite quick to adapt their curriculum in response to the possibilities offered by the digital revolution. However, the study of music and performance was not far behind, and Computer-Based Music Instruction (CBMI) emerged on various platforms as early as the 1960s and 1970s; a trend that has continued to the present day. The music educator is required to engage in suitable professional development to improve their digital literacy and abilities in using technology to assist in teaching.

Such software tuition systems cover a range of musical aspects, such as the understanding of underpinning music theory to the performance and practice of musical instruments, such as the keyboard, guitar, or drums.

According to Peters, (1992) "the use of technological instruction continues in the present day but, as with the consumption of music by the audience, the features

of the Internet have now augmented instruction, allowing music students to engaged with tuition that may take place via audio-visual communication platforms in synchronous or asynchronous lessons and tutorials (p. 68-70)". This provides opportunities for tuition from a much wider range of teachers and experts, specialists in particular techniques or styles of music, and for teachers to engage with an audience of students worldwide. However, particularly when it comes to the study of the performance of a musical instrument where technique and physicality can often be important, the digital method of remote instruction can potentially present barriers and obstacles for both student and teacher. For example, instruction of the correct bowing technique on a violin might be especially difficult without the ability for teacher and student to see each other in three dimensions and for the teacher to manipulate the bowing arm of the student, to achieve the correct motion. However, this is a good example of a particular problem that has been considered, and solutions proposed, by researchers active in this area demonstrating the willingness of the music education community to engage with, and use, new technologies to their advantage. As such, whilst any new technology encounters resistance, the field of music education is keen to experiment with innovations.

**Musical Creativity and Collaboration**

According to Deruty et al., (2022) "the paradigm shifts from the use of expensive commercial recording studios to recording music 'in the box' presents a demonstrable change in the way in which people interact with music production systems (p. 89)". The need for large-scale studios, with large mixing consoles and racks of outboard effects and processing, has been eliminated in the main. This is a pattern that could also be related to a wider trend in the music industry where, due to the development of the Internet, high-quality recorded music has become easy to copy and share. Combined with developments in music streaming and distribution services, this means that a wider range of music is available to consumers.

The role of musicians has also changed due to the development of the Internet. Whereas the role was once to engage and build audiences through broadcast and printed media, the format and content of which were largely out of their control, many musicians are now combining the role of artist and performer with roles that

make use of social media strategies to draw attention to themselves. Examples of this might be to offer lifestyle experiences such as online chats and music tuition. Other examples might be based on regular performances or video blogs. This type of social media engagement is heavily reliant upon regular content delivery with, for example, some YouTube channel operators posting new material numerous times daily.

The increasing demands of consumers mean that for musical artists to remain relevant and commercially viable, they may need to produce material and content at an increased rate. To meet these needs, music producers may wish to embrace new ways to increase productivity, creativity, and possibly quality, at a reduced cost. Historically, to improve productivity, the forming of song-writing partnerships is a common practice in popular music. For example, songwriters Carole King and Gerry Goffin were hired as 'staff writers' at The Brill Building in the 1960s. The Brill Building housed numerous music companies that would buy songs from competing song-writing teams producing many hit songs.

However, finding a suitable collaborator can be problematic. Currently, there are no computer systems that will collaborate with a user, essentially emulating a collaborator. Previous research has examined Artificial Intelligence (AI) based composition, focusing on music generation, via a variety of algorithms. Many compositional systems have been developed, with much research focusing on areas such as different ways to generate starting points or the behaviour of different types of algorithms. The common characteristic in all these systems was that there was a distinct point at which user interaction ceased, and then all work was carried out by the AI system. This would not, necessarily, be the case in a collaborative system.

One of our research activities is to develop an AI collaborator to assist individuals in the process of writing music. This system intends that it would be able to emulate a human writing partner and to interact intuitively and effectively. Walzer, (2017) asserted that "the development of a collaborative AI system involves determining key parameters and defining a set of rules in the collaborative song-writing process (p. 90)". It may be possible that the AI could then collaborate with a single user to create several musical pieces. As part of this

system, there is a clear requirement for the AI system to be able to learn about its user so that it can most efficiently integrate into their workflow, assisting at the right times, and taking a less dominant role when the human user it in a deep period of creativity.

The nature of creative work and collaborative partnerships could mean that a range of human traits and characteristics would need to be modeled by the system. The psychology of artists working in partnership would need to be understood. Issues such as creative tension, conflict and resolution, competition, motivation, and strategies for breaking creative blocks would need to be considered. Data gathering from musicians, songwriters, and producers could provide this type of expert input to the system. To address these diverse types of information and processes, we intend that our work in this field will utilise a range of research methods, such as interviews, questionnaires, and observational studies to produce the models and information that will subsequently be used to build the AI collaboration system before putting it into scenarios where it can be evaluated against a human collaborator, using both objective and subjective evaluation methods.

With the computational power that an AI collaborator would possess, increased availability of experiential data and access to creative strategies will be possible. This might increase the rate at which a music producer could compose, and possibly record, music.

**Music Education: Timekeeping**

Contemporary instrumental music tuition usually consists of a combination of aural practices and more formal musical notation, but there has been little development in formalising the teaching practices of timekeeping for entry-level musicians. As time and financial constraints are increased on peripatetic and classroom tutors, individual and group timekeeping is, understandably, not always a priority in short instrumental tuition sessions. Huang, (2023) noted that "timekeeping is perhaps not the most glamorous component of playing any instrument, but it is an important part of ensemble playing (pp. 30-34". From a teacher's perspective, any tool that can enhance a musical trait of a student, whilst

freeing time for other elements of tuition, would be a welcome addition to the tutor's resources.

It is important to establish a definition of timekeeping in this instance. It is certainly true that musical performance does not always stick to regimented meter and note duration. Expression is a fundamental part of interpretation and performance of a musical piece, but to bend the rules of timekeeping, a performer must be familiar with those rules. Studies suggest that musicians will naturally vary in tempo when listening to or performing passages of music, slowing at the beginning and end of musical phrases; perhaps using this flexibility to imbue a sense of musical punctuation to the piece. Nevertheless, to form a fixed idea of timekeeping, a more mathematical approach is required. A set tempo has fixed markers for each beat and these beats can be used as fenceposts to determine the intervals between each expected note. Exploiting the expected interval as a comparison value to the actual value of a played note can provides distinct figure or measurement of the accuracy of a performer's timekeeping.

Research into the relationship between timekeeping and sensory motor function suggests that the effect of practice alone will improve timekeeping accuracy, and that the rate of this improvement remains similar between musicians and non-musicians. Is it possible to improve this rate of progress? The traditional practice of playing simple rhythms to a mechanical metronome can be used as one method of training a musician to improve their timekeeping accuracy. This method, however, is subjective to an extent. It is also limited by the capabilities of the equipment itself. Möllenkamp, (2014) agreed that "the development of digital metronomes has now gone beyond the model of simply providing these fence-posts of interval markers (p. 89)". Musicians now have access to cross-rhythmic metronomes that provide much more audio information, using syncopated rhythms and a wider range of frequency content i.e. a variation from the stock cowbell audio cue found in many early digital metronomes and DAW software. This increase in audio information may be the key to improving timekeeping accuracy, although some research suggests that audio content can also be a distraction to musicians.

If a metronome can influence the timekeeping of musicians, it stands to reason that other musicians can affect this timekeeping. The process of entrainment in musicians is at the heart of musical performance. The natural tendency of humans to synchronise with external rhythmic stimuli inevitably leads to synchronisation of musical timekeeping in a group performance. The ability of an ensemble to maintain the intended tempo of a given piece will be influenced by the individual ability of each musician to keep time. Therefore, any improvements that can be made to this ability will benefit the group.

Our research into musical timekeeping aims to develop tools and techniques, likely, but not necessarily, implemented in some form of e-learning system that produces a significant improvement in the ability of musicians to improve their timekeeping. In the early stages of this work, we will conduct a range of controlled experiments using metronomes of differing timbre, speed, and in individual and group environments, to investigate how these, relatively straightforward, adjustments may impact upon timekeeping. Following this, an investigation will take place into different exercises and modes of practice and how these may produce increased timekeeping accuracy. Our work in this field utilises a strong quantitative, objective series of time measurements to determine the accuracy of participants in experiments, although it may be that later work uses experts in the field to provide a more subjective assessment of participants' timekeeping ability, to see how these correlates with the objective measurements.

It is now common practice for producers and studio musicians to use cross-rhythmic metronomes to enhance timekeeping performance. The increase in rhythmic information provides the musician with more points of potential synchronisation, using beat sub-division. As stated by Brown (1995) "timekeeping can be seen as the ability to predict the intervals between audio cues or markers, it is probable that this ability to predict becomes more consistent as more information is added, particularly at slower tempos (p. 78). As the definition of timekeeping remains consistent, regardless of instrument type or family, the goal remains the same: to sound or produce a note at the precise moment it is expected. Differing instruments require differing physical and cognitive processes; the use of this technique could inform an adaptive teaching methodology for timekeeping in musicians. It may be possible to tailor metronomic information (ensuring that

audio information does not reach the point of distraction) to improve a musician's timekeeping ability, both in the short and long term.

**Technology as a Teaching Tool**

The computer is an exemplar of mediational means that has aspects of both tool and sign. The computer's role in education has been largely viewed as an instructional tool and for providing a richer and more exciting learning environment. However, by focusing on the learner, the role of technology can support new understandings and capabilities, thus, offering a teaching tool to support thinking and application of reason. In their study, Eady and Lockyer (2013) found out that "the traditional view of instructional technologies of instruction as conveyors of information and communicators of knowledge is supplanted with the active role the learner plays in learning with technology (p. 77). Technologies, primarily computers, help build knowledge bases, which will engage the learners more and result in more meaningful and transferable knowledge. Learners function as designers using technology as tools for analyzing the world, accessing information, interpreting and organizing their knowledge, and representing what they know to others.



Figure 1: Professional computer music rig.
**Source**: ResearchGate (2022).

Duffy & Cunningham (1996) opined that "cognitive tools do not prevent the use of computers to increase productivity for learning. Off-loading repetitive tasks and lower-order tasks to cognitive tools frees cognitive resources for deeper thinking and reduces errors (p. 56)". Swain and Pearson (2002) revealed that "teachers and students must be educated to use the computer as a productivity tool, as well as a tool for learning, research, networking, collaboration, telecommunications, and problem-solving (p. 88)". Using computers as a productivity tool is one of the basic requirements for teachers to use technology to enhance their productivity and professional practices. In enhancing the human capacity of teachers, there is a need to provide extensive teacher professional development for teachers. Such capacity-building programs can be online teacher professional training and face-to-face training workshops among others. Professional development includes more than training in equipment operation. The new pedagogical models required in the adoption of constructivist and constructionist learning outcomes call for the training of teachers in these skills and knowledge bases. Gardner (1991) asserts that "many students do not understand or find meaning in what they learn. To some, education becomes nothing more than drill and practice or drill and response to routine tasks without relevance for the materials that students are expected to learn (pp. 45-46)". Gardner stated that Psychologists such as Piaget believed that the learner must be active to be engaged in real learning. Active learning is regarded as the ability to connect new knowledge with prior understanding. Technology integration education therefore provides a better opportunity for both the teacher and the learner to have firsthand experience for better learning outcomes. In the context of traditional learning, students are passive recipients of knowledge that are inconsistent with the learning situations that occur in real life. Therefore, to make students learning relevant to real-life experiences, learning environments call for pedagogical change and reformation.




Figure 2: Midi controller with drum pads, semi weighted piano keys, faders and rotary knobs (AKAI, MPK 49)
**Source**: ResearchGate (2022).

Current trends in the development of computer technology and its application in music education. Technology applies to and describes a wide variety of devices and applications in music and music education. Generally, technology is anything that uses scientific knowledge to achieve a desired result. Technology has assisted performers and music educators for centuries. The organ, harpsichord, piano, and phonograph are all examples of technologies that were as amazing to those who originally used them as computers are to us today. The trumpet was played without valves throughout the Baroque era. In the Romantic period, the valve was incorporated into the design of the trumpet and this technology revolutionized the instrument. Rudolph (2004) opined, likewise, that the invention of the silicon chip and computer microprocessor in the late twentieth century has had as great of an impact on music and music education (p. 1). The use of computer technology to support learning has been difficult to document and quantify, leaving the role of computers in the classroom precarious. In the past decade, a sudden resurgence of interest was markedly observed in the classroom use of technological innovations, along with the increased use of the Internet and other digital technologies, to be able to achieve competency- or performance-based music teacher preparation targets, prospective teachers must know music (i.e. Theory and Literature of Western and African Music); knowledge in music (i.e. Learning and Teaching of both African and Western Musical Instruments and Composition) and knowledge of music education studies (i.e. Philosophy, Psychology, History, Pedagogy, Assessment and Evaluation, and Research). Mereku (2019) advocated for

electronic keyboards that are cost-effective instead of acoustic pianos of the past. According to him, there is a need to enhance students' practices by providing individual instrument rooms (p. 89). In the training of professional music teachers, he is of the view that every music department must set up a Music Technology Laboratory for sound engineering, music programming, and recording with the help of computers.

Departments responsible for the training of music teachers must ward off obsolete instruments and employ electronic experts who are competent and effective for the job. To express the need for a turn-around of music education in Nigeria, Mereku said: "It must be reiterated that a good music education programme must take cognizance of the cosmopolitan nature of the new mixed cultural legacy of this country. We need to put into proper perspective our musical heritage - both past and present. Forcing a curriculum that is community-oriented will not do justice to prospective teachers in their preparation process because that is not the reality on the ground. We will be wrong in this regard if we continue to pursue a cultural revival policy". This assertion by Mereku is a wake-up call to institutions that train music teachers to restructure their training programmes to suit the challenges of using technology in education. The colleges of education for that matter the institution mandated to train music teachers for basic schools must therefore embrace computer technology integration and its' effectiveness for both teaching and learning processes.




Figure 3: Guitar Rig illustrating amplifier and effects emulations.
**Source**: ResearchGate (2022).

The field of Instructional Design and Technology, too, saw the evolution and emergence of alternative approaches. New emphases, like electronic performance support systems, web-based instruction, and knowledge management systems widened its horizon across business and industry, the military, health care, and education, worldwide. Anderson et al., (2019) stressed that initiatives, such as situated learning theory and constructivism presented fresh approaches to bring about reforms in the domains of public education and higher education (p. 673). In this study, the most recently invented state-of-the-art devices such as computers, electronic keyboards, CDs, CD-ROMs, and DVDs were considered. Other devices such as the overhead projector, phonograph record player, cassette recorder, and filmstrip projector are no longer considered cutting edge. In the last two decades, many tools have become available to music educators that can significantly enhance student learning. To comprehend the prospect of technology implementation in enhancing the teaching-learning process, the impact of constructivism on classroom practices has been studied by many researchers.

**Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs):**

Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs) have revolutionized the music production industry, offering a powerful platform for creating, editing, and mixing audio recordings. These software applications provide musicians, producers, and audio engineers with a versatile toolkit to bring their musical ideas to life with unprecedented flexibility and control. At the heart of any DAW is its interface, which typically consists of multiple tracks where audio recordings or MIDI data can be arranged and manipulated. Users can import audio files, record live instruments or vocals directly into the software, or generate sounds using virtual instruments. This versatility allows for endless possibilities in terms of musical creativity.

Clarke (2020) supported that "one of the key features of DAWs is their extensive editing capabilities (p. 5)". Users can precisely trim, splice, and rearrange audio clips to fine-tune performances or create entirely new compositions. Advanced editing tools such as time stretching, pitch correction, and quantization help ensure that every aspect of the music meets the desired standards of quality and precision. In addition to audio editing, DAWs often include a wide range of built-in effects and processing plugins. These can be used to shape the sound in

countless ways, from adding reverb and delay to applying EQ and compression. Many DAWs also support third-party plugins, allowing users to expand their sonic palette with specialized effects and virtual instruments from other developers. Collaboration is another important aspect of modern music production, and DAWs offer several features to facilitate teamwork among musicians and producers. Projects can be easily shared and synced over the internet, allowing multiple users to contribute to a composition from different locations. Real-time collaboration tools enable musicians to jam together remotely, with each participant able to hear and respond to the others in real time.

According to Pendergast, (2021), "automation is another powerful tool provided by DAWs, allowing users to create dynamic changes in volume, panning, and effect parameters over time (p. 22)". This can be used to add movement and expression to a mix, enhancing the emotional impact of the music. Automation can also be used creatively to achieve complex effects that would be difficult or impossible to achieve manually. While DAWs offer a vast array of features and capabilities, they can also be complex and intimidating for beginners. Fortunately, most DAWs include comprehensive documentation and tutorials to help new users get started. Additionally, there are countless online resources, forums, and communities where users can seek advice, share tips, and learn from more experienced producers. The choice of which DAW to use ultimately depends on a variety of factors, including personal preference, workflow preferences, and budget constraints. Some popular DAWs include Ableton Live, Logic Pro, Pro Tools, FL Studio, and Reaper, each offering its own unique set of features and strengths. Digital Audio Workstations have become indispensable tools for musicians, producers, and audio engineers alike. With their extensive editing capabilities, built-in effects, and support for third-party plugins, DAWs provide a powerful platform for creating professional-quality music. Whether you're recording a live band, producing electronic dance music, or scoring a film soundtrack, a good DAW can help you turn your musical vision into reality.

**Electronic Music Production**

According to Keith (2010), "electronic music production has transformed the landscape of music creation, offering unprecedented levels of creative freedom and sonic exploration (pp. 23-24)". With advancements in technology and the

widespread availability of affordable software and hardware, producing electronic music has become more accessible than ever before. At the core of electronic music production is the Digital Audio Workstation (DAW), which serves as the central hub for recording, arranging, editing, and mixing music. DAWs provide a versatile platform that allows producers to manipulate audio and MIDI data in virtually limitless ways, enabling them to craft intricate soundscapes and compositions. One of the defining features of electronic music production is the use of synthesizers and samplers to generate sounds. These instruments allow producers to create and manipulate sounds from scratch, ranging from traditional analog-style synthesizers to cutting-edge digital instruments. With synthesizers, producers can sculpt complex textures, design unique timbres, and explore new sonic possibilities.

Sampling is another fundamental aspect of electronic music production, allowing producers to manipulate and recontextualize existing audio recordings to create something entirely new. Samples can be chopped, pitched, stretched, and processed in a myriad of ways, providing endless opportunities for creative experimentation. Drum machines play a crucial role in electronic music production, providing producers with a vast array of electronic and acoustic drum sounds to create rhythmic patterns and grooves. From classic drum machines like the Roland TR-808 and TR-909 to modern software emulations, these instruments have become staples of electronic music production. In addition to synthesizers, samplers, and drum machines, electronic music producers often utilize a wide range of effects processors to shape and enhance their sounds. From reverb and delay to distortion and modulation, effects can add depth, dimension, and character to production, helping to create immersive and engaging sonic experiences.

One of the most exciting aspects of electronic music production is the ability to incorporate cutting-edge technology and innovative techniques into the creative process. From generative music algorithms to real-time audio processing, producers are constantly pushing the boundaries of what's possible with electronic music. Collaboration is another important aspect of electronic music production, with producers often working together to share ideas, exchange feedback, and collaborate on projects. With advancements in internet technology,

producers can now collaborate remotely, sharing project files and communicating in real-time from anywhere in the world. Live performance is an integral part of electronic music culture, with producers taking their music out of the studio and onto the stage to connect with audiences in a live setting. With the rise of software and hardware-based performance tools, producers can now perform their music in a variety of ways, from DJ sets to live improvisations.

Marrington, (2019) opined that "The rise of electronic music production has also led to the emergence of new genres and subgenres, each with its own unique sonic characteristics and cultural influences (p. 13)". From techno and house to dubstep and drum and bass, electronic music continues to evolve and diversify, reflecting the ever-changing landscape of contemporary music culture. Electronic music production has revolutionized the way music is created, offering producers a vast array of tools and techniques to explore and experiment with. With its emphasis on creativity, innovation, and sonic exploration, electronic music production continues to push the boundaries of what's possible in music, inspiring generations of producers and musicians around the world. Whether you're a seasoned producer or just starting, electronic music production offers endless opportunities for creative expression and musical discovery.

**Algorithmic Composition and AI**

Algorithmic composition, fueled by artificial intelligence (AI), has emerged as a fascinating frontier in the realm of music creation, blending the ingenuity of human creativity with the computational power of machines. This intersection has opened new possibilities for composers, musicians, and technologists alike, offering innovative ways to generate, manipulate, and organize musical material. At its core, algorithmic composition involves the use of algorithms to generate musical structures, melodies, harmonies, rhythms, and even entire compositions. These algorithms can range from simple rule-based systems to complex machine-learning models trained on vast datasets of musical material. Papadopoulos and Wiggins (1999) agreed that "one of the key advantages of algorithmic composition is its ability to explore vast musical spaces that might be beyond the reach of human intuition alone (p. 11)". By leveraging computational algorithms, composers can generate novel musical ideas, experiment with unconventional

structures, and uncover hidden patterns and relationships within their compositions.

AI-powered algorithmic composition systems take this concept a step further by harnessing the power of machine learning to analyze and generate music autonomously. These systems can be trained on large datasets of existing musical compositions, learning to mimic the stylistic traits and compositional techniques of specific genres, composers, or musical traditions. One of the most exciting applications of AI in algorithmic composition is the creation of generative models that can autonomously generate new musical material. These models, often based on techniques such as recurrent neural networks (RNNs) or generative adversarial networks (GANs), can produce original compositions in a variety of styles and genres, ranging from classical to electronic to avant-garde. AI-powered algorithmic composition systems can also be used as creative tools to inspire and collaborate with human composers. By generating musical sketches, variations, or accompaniments based on input from the composer, these systems can serve as co-creators, helping to stimulate creativity and explore new artistic directions.

Furthermore, AI algorithms can analyze existing musical compositions to extract valuable insights into their structure, form, and style. This can be particularly useful for composers seeking inspiration or looking to deepen their understanding of musical theory and aesthetics. However, while AI-powered algorithmic composition holds great promise, it also raises important questions and challenges. One concern is the issue of authorship and creativity: as AI systems become increasingly capable of generating music autonomously, who should be credited as the composer? This question raises complex ethical and legal issues that require careful consideration. Additionally, there is the risk of algorithmic bias, where AI systems inadvertently perpetuate or amplify existing biases present in the training data. This can have implications for the diversity and inclusivity of the music generated by these systems, highlighting the importance of thoughtful design and evaluation.

Despite these challenges, the potential of AI-powered algorithmic composition to revolutionize the way music is created, experienced, and understood is undeniable. By harnessing the power of computational algorithms and machine

learning, composers and musicians can explore new creative territories, push the boundaries of musical expression, and ultimately enrich the cultural landscape of our world.

**The theoretical framework of the Study**

This study primarily was based on the theory propounded by Rogers (1962), known as the Theory of Diffusion Innovation. Diffusion Innovation theory is defined as the process by which an innovation is adopted and gains acceptance by members of a certain community. Rogers (2003) stated that adoption is a decision of "full use of an innovation as the best course of action available" and rejection is a decision "not to adopt an innovation" (p. 177). According to Rogers, several factors interplay to influence the diffusion of an innovation. These factors include the innovation itself, how information about the innovation is communicated (communication channel), time, and the nature of the social system (the institution) into which the innovation is being introduced (Rogers, 1962). Given Rogers, the introduction of new technology must be well explained to users and consider the appropriateness of the technology to the sector that is to use it.

Rogers' Theory of Diffusion of Innovations is explored in this study, focusing on innovation, communication, time, and the social system. Rogers defines innovation as a perceived new idea or object, emphasizing the importance of simplicity, compatibility, and advantage. Communication involves the process of sharing information, with mass media and interpersonal channels influencing innovation adoption. Time in diffusion includes the innovation-decision process, innovativeness of individuals, and the rate of adoption, categorized into innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority, and laggards. The social system, defined by Rogers, is a set of interrelated units engaged in joint problem-solving. This theory proves valuable in investigating technology adoption in higher education, particularly in Ghana's nascent integration of computer technology into music education. The study highlights the transformative role of computer technology in changing educational cultures and emphasizes the need for assessing its adoption in the context of music teaching.

## Methodology

This qualitative study utilizes case study analysis to investigate the impact of technology on contemporary music creation. Through in-depth interviews, observations, and thematic analysis, the research aims to understand how technology influences creative processes. Ethical considerations, triangulation, and practical recommendations enhance the study's comprehensiveness and relevance.

## Conclusions

The utilization of technology in contemporary music creation stands as a transformative force that has redefined the landscape of musical expression. Through the lens of this exploration, it becomes evident that technology serves not only as a tool but as a catalyst for innovation, enabling artists to push the boundaries of creativity. The convergence of traditional musical elements with cutting-edge technological advancements has given rise to a dynamic and diverse musical ecosystem. From the advent of synthesizers and MIDI control devices to the democratization of music production through digital audio workstations, technology has empowered musicians to explore new sonic possibilities. The evolution from analog to digital technologies has not only revolutionized the recording and production processes but has also democratized access to music creation tools, allowing individuals to build virtual orchestras in their homes.

## Recommendations

The study proposes the following recommendations:

1. Embrace Tech Exploration: Musicians explore tech, stay informed, and experiment for innovative soundscapes in contemporary music.
2. Ethical Guidelines: Establish and follow ethical rules in music creation, addressing IP, culture, and privacy.
3. Foster Global Collabs: Embrace technology for seamless global collaborations, promoting diversity and innovation.

**References**

Anderson, T., Rourke, L., Garrison, D. R., & Archer, W. (2019). "Cognitive presence and computer conferencing." *Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 10*(2), 175–94.

Bandura, A., Freeman, W., & Lightsey, R. (2001). "Self-efficacy: The exercise of control." *Journal of Psychology and Health, 2*(4), 29-43.

Brøvig, R., & Danielsen, A. (2023). *Digital Signatures: The Impact of Digitization on Popular Music Sound*. MIT Press.

Brown, A. (1995). Digital technology and the study of music. *International Journal of Music Education*, (1), 14-19.

Clarke, A. (2020). *Supporting pro-amateur composers using digital audio workstations* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nottingham).

Clarke, M., & Manning, P. (2020). *Inside Computer Music*. Oxford University Press, USA.

Collins, N. (2010). "Electronic music". Cambridge University Press.

Crooke, A. H. D. (2018). Music technology and the hip hop beat making tradition: A history and typology of equipment for music therapy. In *Voices: A World Forum for Music Therapy* (Vol. 18, No. 2).

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). Flow: The psychology of optimal experience. Harper & Row.

Deruty, E., Grachten, M., Lattner, S., Nistal, J., & Aouameur, C. (2022). On the Development and Practice of AI Technology for Contemporary Popular Music Production. *Transactions of the International Society for Music Information Retrieval*, *5*(1), 35-50.

Duffy, T. M., & Cunningham, D. J. (1996). "Constructivism: implications for the design and delivery of instruction". Handbook of Research for Educational Communications and Technology, 170–198.

Eady, M., & Lockyer, L. (2013). Tools for learning: Technology and teaching. *Learning to teach in the primary school*, *71*.

Gardner, H. (1991). The unschooled mind: How children think and how schools should teach. Basic Books.

Hosken, D. (2014). *An introduction to music technology*. Routledge.

Huang, B. (2023). Modern music production equipment and how it can be used in student teaching: overture and its impact on motivation and interest in electronic music creation. *Current Psychology*, *42*(34), 30499-30509.

Hugill, A. (2012). The digital musician. Routledge.

Katz, M. (2022). *Music and Technology: A Very Short Introduction* (Vol. 710). Oxford University Press.

Keith, S. (2010, July). Bridging the gap: Thoughts on computer music and contemporary (popular) electronic music. In *Proceedings of the 2010 Australasian computer music conference* (pp. 37-42).

Marrington, M. (2019). The DAW, Electronic Music Aesthetics, and Genre Transgression in Music Production: The Case of Heavy Metal Music. In *Producing Music* (pp. 52-74). Routledge.

Mereku, D. K. (2019). "Music teacher education and computer technology integration: The ghanaian experience". *International Journal of Music Education, 37*(2), 89–105.

Miranda, E. R. (2010). Computer music: A research agenda. Springer.

Möllenkamp, A. (2014). Paradigms of music software development. In *Proceedings of the 9th Conference on Interdisciplinary Musicology–CIM14* (pp. 61-63).

Papadopoulos, G., & Wiggins, G. (1999, April). AI methods for algorithmic composition: A survey, a critical view and future prospects. In *AISB symposium on musical creativity* (Vol. 124, pp. 110-117).

Pendergast, S. (2021). Creative music-making with digital audio workstations. *Music educators journal, 108*(2), 44-56.

Peters, G. D. (1992). Music Software and Emerging Technology: G. David Peters outlines the history of music software and hardware and explores the new developments and benefits of the emerging software for use in the classroom. *Music Educators Journal*, *79*(3), 22-63.

Pink, D. H. (2009). Drive: The surprising truth about what motivates us. Riverhead Books.

Roads, C. (2015). The computer music tutorial. MIT Press.

Rudolph, T. (2004). "Computers and music education: Past, present, future". *General Music Today, 17*(2), 1–5.

Swain, C., & Pearson, J. (2002). "Integrating computer technology into the classroom: the role of teacher preparation programs." *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 11*(1), 87–105.

Walzer, D. (2023). *Leadership in music technology education: Philosophy, praxis, and pedagogy*. CRC Press.

Walzer, D. A. (2017). Independent music production: how individuality, technology and creative entrepreneurship influence contemporary music industry practices. *Creative Industries Journal*, *10*(1), 21-39.

Woods, C. (2023). *Orchestrating the Ecosystem: How Music Making is Transformed in the Digital Age* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Liverpool).