The Implications of Marxist Perspective of Religion on the Socio-Economic Development in Nigerian Nation

By

Clifford Meesua Sibani, PhD
Department of Religious and Cultural Studies,
Faculty of Humanities, Rivers State University,
Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt
08037948880 clifford.sibani@ust.edu.ng

&

Jackson, Etiowo Asuquo
Department of Religious and Cultural Studies,
Faculty of Humanities, Rivers State University,
Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt
08030497753

Abstract

The Marxist perspective on religion, as outlined by Karl Marx, considers religion a social construct that upholds class structures, reinforcing inequality and diverting the oppressed from challenging their conditions. Marx famously described religion as the "opiate of the masses," suggesting it serves as a coping mechanism for the exploited, dulling awareness of social injustices. In the context of Nigeria, where multiple religious and ethnic groups coexist, the Marxist analysis reveals how religion can become a tool for the ruling class to maintain control, manipulating faith to foster obedience and deflect attention from systemic issues. Political leaders and elites may use religious rhetoric to legitimize their power and prevent societal unrest, shaping narratives that justify their dominance. This strategy exacerbates socio-economic divides, reinforcing inequality and limiting opportunities for lower classes to challenge the status quo. Consequently, the Marxist perspective argues that religion in Nigeria, while culturally significant, is frequently wielded to sustain oppression, limiting socio-economic and political transformation by keeping the masses focused on spiritual relief rather than social revolution. This research addressed Marxist theory of religion, religion's role in shaping Nigeria's social, economic, and political structures, religion as a tool of

social control in Nigeria, religion and class structure in Nigeria, elite manipulation and political legitimacy, implications of Marxist critique on religion for Nigerian socio-economic development. It made used of historical method as well as the utilization of books and academic journals. It recommends that religious leaders should not allow the political elites to use religious platforms for politicking and increasing the suffering of the poor masses.

Keywords: Exploitation, Social Control, Inequality, Elite Manipulation, Oppression

Introduction

Religion, in various forms, has had a profound influence on societies worldwide, shaping social values, providing moral guidance, and often becoming interwoven with political authority. Karl Marx's perspective on religion, however, offers a critical lens through which religion can be viewed as an instrument of social control rather than simply a source of spiritual or communal value. Marx argued that religion is "the opium of the people" (Marx, 1844), suggesting that religion functions as a pacifying force, comforting individuals in their suffering while simultaneously diverting attention from the true sources of their oppression. In this framework, religion serves as an ideological tool that helps maintain social hierarchies and reinforces the power of the ruling class by obscuring the realities of class exploitation and alienation.

In Nigeria, a nation marked by its significant ethnic, religious, and social diversity, Marx's perspective offers valuable insights. With Islam, Christianity, and traditional African religions as the predominant belief systems, religious affiliation often intersects with social, ethnic, and political identities, making religion a potent factor in national cohesion—or division (Falola, 2001). Since its independence in 1960, Nigeria has grappled with religiously motivated conflicts, with political elites frequently capitalizing on religious identity to legitimize their authority and to suppress opposition (Albert, 2002). As a result, religion in Nigeria functions not merely as a private matter of faith but as a public and political tool that influences social norms, reinforces power structures, and complicates efforts toward achieving national unity.

Marxist theory posits that religion is an instrument of the ruling class, reinforcing "false consciousness" among the working class and obscuring their understanding of the socio-economic roots of their hardship (Larrain, 1983). This idea is particularly evident in Nigeria, where religious rhetoric and symbolism are often used by the political elite to consolidate their power and justify their authority. For instance, Nigerian leaders regularly participate in high-profile religious events, publicly demonstrating their affiliations with religious leaders to solidify their moral credibility (Adebanwi & Obadare, 2010). These alliances foster an environment where religion is not only intertwined with political power but also serves to divert attention from Nigeria's pervasive issues, such as economic inequality and corruption (Smith, 2007). Consequently, religion in Nigeria serves as a mechanism that promotes social stability at the expense of addressing systemic social and economic inequities.

The concept of alienation is another key aspect of Marx's critique of religion that resonates with the Nigerian context. Marx argued that religion is a response to alienation, a phenomenon in which individuals feel disconnected from their labor, their community, and their potential for self-realization (Marx, 1844). In Nigeria, where poverty and unemployment rates are high and public services are often inadequate, religion offers a means of coping with these material conditions. Religious institutions frequently step in to provide essential social services, such as education and healthcare, which the state is often unable to deliver effectively (Ibrahim, 2011). However, while this support can alleviate immediate suffering, it also reinforces a sense of dependence on religious organizations, thus diverting focus from addressing the underlying causes of these socio-economic issues. Instead of mobilizing for structural change, many citizens turn to religious institutions for aid, viewing their hardships as divinely ordained trials rather than symptoms of systemic inequality (Omeje, 2012).

The Nigerian state's reliance on religion as a tool for maintaining social control reflects Marx's argument that religion operates as a stabilizing force that suppresses dissent and reinforces the existing class structure For instance, Kukah, (1993:23) states that "religious leaders in Nigeria often hold considerable influence over their followers, using this authority to shape societal values and norms in ways that discourage rebellion or political activism". In many cases, "religious

leaders have aligned themselves with the political elite, supporting policies or actions that benefit the ruling class while overlooking the needs and grievances of the lower classes" (Smith, 2007:19). This alliance between religious and political elites serves to reinforce Nigeria's class structure, as religious leaders validate the political status quo, promoting obedience among their followers rather than encouraging critical engagement with socio-economic issues.

This paper seeks to apply Marx's critique of religion to the Nigerian context, analyzing how religion serves as a mechanism for social control that maintains existing power structures. By examining Nigeria's political landscape through a Marxist lens, this study highlights the ways in which religious institutions and leaders are complicit in reinforcing social and economic inequality. Religious role in Nigeria, as suggested by Marx's theory, aligns closely with the interests of the elite, who benefit from a populace that is pacified by religious ideology and reluctant to challenge authority. Furthermore, this analysis considers the implications of such religious influence for Nigeria's development, arguing that the entanglement of religion and politics hinders national unity and stifles the potential for socio-economic progress.

Ultimately, while the Marxist perspective offers a critical framework for understanding religion's role in Nigeria, it is also important to recognize its limitations. Marx's analysis does not fully account for the ways religion can inspire social solidarity, provide community support, and foster resilience in times of crisis (Weber, 1922). Nonetheless, the Marxist view remains a powerful tool for investigating how religion can be used to maintain social hierarchies and support political authority. This paper aims to offer a nuanced analysis of the implications of religion on Nigeria's socio-political landscape, suggesting that a clearer separation between religious and political spheres may be necessary to promote a more equitable and cohesive society.

Marxist Theory of Religion

Karl Marx's critique of religion is rooted in his broader theory of social and economic structures, specifically his analysis of class struggle and the mechanisms by which ruling elites maintain their dominance. Marx saw religion as a fundamental component of the ideological superstructure, which supports and

legitimates the material base of society—i.e., the economic relations that define social classes. This view of religion aligns with several core tenets of Marxism, including the concept of historical materialism, alienation, and class conflict. Marx argued that religion serves the interests of the ruling class by promoting a "false consciousness" among the oppressed, preventing them from recognizing the reality of their exploitation and thus suppressing their desire for social change.

One of the central tenets of Marxist theory as it relates to religion is the concept of false consciousness, which is closely tied to Marx's famous characterization of religion as "the opium of the people" (Marx, 1844). In A Contribution to the Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Right (1843), Marx argued that religion serves to soothe the distress of the oppressed by offering an illusory form of happiness, thereby diverting attention from the material conditions that are the true source of their suffering. He saw this false consciousness as a form of ideological control that reinforces the status quo by pacifying the masses and making them more accepting of their socio-economic conditions (Marx, 1843). According to Marx, the ruling class benefits from this dynamic because it prevents the working class from questioning their exploitation and rising up against their oppressors.

Religion, as an ideological tool, supports the power of the ruling class by creating a worldview that justifies social inequality as part of a divine order. In The Communist Manifesto, co-authored with Friedrich Engels, Marx argued that societal institutions, including religion, education, and the media, serve the interests of the bourgeoisie by perpetuating narratives that maintain class distinctions and validate the authority of the ruling class (Marx & Engels, 1848). Religion, in this sense, functions as a "superstructure" that legitimizes the economic base—the capitalist system—and justifies the social relations that keep the working class subordinate. By presenting suffering and hardship as spiritually valuable or divinely ordained, religion discourages individuals from seeking to change the socio-economic conditions that cause their distress. For example, the Christian notion of enduring hardship as a pathway to eternal salvation serves to justify social inequality and suffering, encouraging the working class to accept their plight rather than resist it.

Another key aspect of Marx's theory of religion is the concept of alienation, which he describes in several works, including The Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts of 1844. Marx argued that alienation arises when individuals are estranged from their labor, their sense of self, and ultimately from one another, due to the exploitative nature of capitalist production (Marx, 1844). Religion, in Marx's view, is a response to this alienation—a coping mechanism that provides solace in a world where individuals feel disconnected from their labor and their own humanity. He argued that individuals turn to religion because it offers an imagined community and a sense of belonging that capitalism, with its competitive and isolating tendencies, fails to provide. However, while religion may provide a temporary escape from the pain of alienation, it ultimately reinforces alienation by encouraging individuals to find solace in the supernatural rather than confronting and changing the social structures that alienate them.

In Marx's analysis, religion is both a product and a perpetuator of alienation. It is a product because it arises from the conditions of oppression and powerlessness experienced by the working class, and it is a perpetuator because it directs the individual's dissatisfaction away from material conditions and toward spiritual aspirations. This view is evident in Marx's assertion that "religion is only the illusory sun which revolves around man as long as he does not revolve around himself" (Marx, 1843). By this, Marx meant that religion functions as an illusion that prevents individuals from recognizing the true sources of their oppression—namely, the capitalist system—and, as a result, forestalls revolutionary change. Rather than empowering individuals to seek liberation from their social conditions, religion reinforces submission by framing suffering as inevitable or divinely ordained.

Marx's belief in the relationship between religion and social inequality is further articulated through his understanding of class struggle. In The German Ideology (1846), Marx and Engels explained that the ideas of the ruling class are the dominant ideas of any given epoch, which means that the religious, moral, and philosophical beliefs of a society reflect the interests of the ruling elite (Marx & Engels, 1970). Since religion is often controlled and propagated by institutions that align with the interests of the elite, it naturally serves to support the prevailing class structure and hinders the potential for revolutionary consciousness among

the working class. Marx viewed this relationship as inherently oppressive because it stifles the development of class consciousness—the awareness by the proletariat of their shared interests and their position within the capitalist system. Without this awareness, Marx believed that the working class would remain susceptible to religious narratives that justify their suffering, preventing them from uniting to overthrow the structures that oppress them.

Marx's theory of religion is deeply embedded within his broader critique of capitalism and social inequality. He saw religion as a form of ideological control that supports the interests of the ruling class by promoting a false consciousness, distracting individuals from the true sources of their oppression, and perpetuating alienation. Religion, according to Marx, does not just mask social inequality but actively reinforces it by legitimating the social order and discouraging the working class from seeking change. Although Marx's perspective on religion is highly critical, it offers a powerful analytical framework for examining how religion can be used to uphold social hierarchies and maintain the authority of the ruling class. By encouraging individuals to accept their suffering as divinely ordained, religion, in Marx's view, perpetuates social inequalities and prevents the oppressed from achieving liberation.

Religion's Role in Shaping Nigeria's Social, Economic, and Political Structures

The religious diversity of Nigeria has historically influenced its social, economic, and political structures in profound ways. Under British colonial rule, the administration often reinforced religious and regional distinctions to facilitate governance. This policy, known as "indirect rule," allowed local rulers, especially in the Islamic north, to retain authority over certain areas of governance as long as they supported the colonial government. In the south, however, British colonial administrators worked more directly with Christian missionaries and local leaders, resulting in a more Westernized, educated, and economically prosperous region (Peel, 2000). This differential approach laid the groundwork for later regional disparities and tensions, as the north lagged behind the south in Western education and economic development, which continues to be a source of social and political tension.

Religion also intersects with ethnicity, as certain ethnic groups in Nigeria are predominantly associated with specific religions. For instance, the Hausa and Fulani ethnic groups in the north are predominantly Muslim, while the Yoruba in the southwest are religiously mixed between Islam and Christianity. The Igbo in the southeast are mostly Christian. These religious affiliations often overlap with regional and ethnic identities, making religious affiliation a significant factor in Nigerian politics. Political leaders frequently leverage these religious identities to consolidate power and foster loyalty among their constituencies, but this practice also exacerbates intercommunal tensions, contributing to conflicts that are both religious and ethnic in nature (Falola, 2001).

Economically, religion has historically played a role in the distribution of resources and social services. Islamic leaders in the north, for example, have traditionally controlled significant resources through religious institutions such as the Emirate system, which historically exercised both religious and political power. Meanwhile, Christian missionaries in the south provided educational and healthcare services, creating a legacy of private Christian schools and hospitals that often received state support. This disparity in religious influence has contributed to uneven access to education and economic opportunities, which has, in turn, deepened the socio-economic divide between the predominantly Muslim north and the predominantly Christian south (Falola, 2001).

In the post-independence period, religious identity has continued to shape Nigeria's political landscape. As the country transitioned to independence, religious identities became important markers of political affiliation, with politicians often aligning themselves with religious leaders and institutions to garner support. This trend has been particularly evident in the use of "state religion" policies, where some state governments in the north have implemented aspects of Sharia law. These policies have reinforced the dominance of Islam in the north and have often been a point of contention with Christian groups in other parts of Nigeria (Kukah, 1993).

Religion as a Tool of Social Control in Nigeria

In Nigeria, religious leaders and institutions play a significant role in shaping social norms, often reinforcing existing power structures and discouraging

dissent, in line with Marx's view of religion as a stabilizing force that serves the ruling class. Religious leaders wield substantial influence, guiding followers in moral and social matters, which can function to uphold established hierarchies and inhibit movements for change. Through preaching obedience, patience, and acceptance of current social conditions, religious authorities can foster a sense of resignation among their followers, subtly discouraging them from questioning socio-economic injustices. This aligns with Marx's belief that religion is a tool that pacifies the masses, diverting attention from material hardships by emphasizing spiritual rewards in the afterlife (Marx, 1843).

Political elites in Nigeria frequently harness religious rhetoric to consolidate their power and legitimize authority. By appealing to religious beliefs and values, leaders can invoke divine endorsement for their actions, creating a sense of moral authority that reinforces their control over the populace. Adebanwi and Obadare (2010) illustrate how Nigerian politicians regularly use religious language and symbols to rally support, often staging public religious ceremonies and endorsing high-profile religious events to affirm their alignment with popular faiths. These actions can deflect focus from issues like poverty, corruption, and inequality, redirecting public attention to matters of spirituality rather than the nation's socio-economic conditions. For instance, state-sponsored religious pilgrimages to Mecca and Jerusalem are both ways to show support for religious groups and a strategic move to gain political capital by appearing pious.

In some cases, alliances between political and religious figures create powerful networks that can be used to control public sentiment. Political leaders may appoint religious figures to key government roles or partner with religious organizations for community outreach, a strategy that fosters loyalty and aligns religious values with state policies. By tying religious authority to the state, Nigerian elites can more effectively influence the masses, reinforcing the idea that current social conditions are divinely ordained and should not be contested. This dynamic reflects the Marxist perspective that religion, when aligned with political power, becomes a tool for maintaining the status quo.

Religion and Class Structure in Nigeria

Applying Marx's concept of religion as a means of sustaining class divisions, we see that religious institutions in Nigeria often function to reinforce social hierarchies and economic disparities. Rather than challenging systems of inequality, religious organizations frequently align with elite interests, offering them a platform to exert social control. According to Ibrahim (2011), religious institutions in Nigeria have become intertwined with elite networks, benefiting from donations, endorsements, and favors that strengthen their influence. This close relationship can contribute to social inequality by legitimizing the status of the wealthy and powerful while encouraging the less privileged to accept their position in society as part of a divine plan.

Religious organizations in Nigeria also play a substantial role in providing social services, such as education, healthcare, and welfare, sometimes taking over functions that are traditionally the state's responsibility. While these services are vital in addressing the needs of marginalized communities, they can also reinforce dependency on religious institutions. For example, many Nigerians rely on church-run schools or mosque-sponsored healthcare facilities due to inadequate state resources. This dependency can bind individuals to religious organizations, perpetuating a cycle where the poor and disadvantaged become reliant on these institutions for their basic needs, further entrenching class divisions (Ibrahim, 2011).

Moreover, by fulfilling roles typically associated with the government, religious institutions gain moral authority and power that bolster their influence within communities, which they can then wield in alignment with elite interests. This dynamic allows religious organizations to maintain social control, as people may be less likely to question the actions of elites if their essential services depend on religiously affiliated providers. Such arrangements serve to keep the working class and impoverished communities tethered to institutions that ultimately support the existing power structure. Consequently, religious organizations can indirectly perpetuate class stratification by maintaining a socio-economic environment where the elite retain control, while the less privileged are conditioned to seek assistance within a framework that promotes dependence rather than systemic change.

By situating religious organizations as central providers of social support, Nigerian elites strengthen a socio-political landscape where class divisions are perpetuated, and genuine social mobility remains limited. Through this lens, Marx's critique of religion as an enabler of class exploitation is evident, as Nigerian religious institutions often sustain an unequal status quo, providing comfort but rarely challenging the structures of power that produce widespread socio-economic disparity.

Elite Manipulation and Political Legitimacy

Nigerian political elites frequently manipulate religious identities to consolidate power, invoking religious symbols, affiliations, or sectarian loyalties as a means to gain legitimacy and marginalize opposition. By appealing to religious beliefs, political leaders can present themselves as representatives of specific religious groups, which solidifies their support base while alienating or discrediting rival groups. Smith (2007) highlights instances where Nigerian politicians used religious identity strategically to bolster their standing, creating a narrative of divine endorsement that resonates with devout followers. This manipulation not only solidifies the politician's power but also discourages dissent by framing opposition as a threat to religious values.

For example, political figures in Nigeria often align themselves with prominent religious leaders, creating powerful patronage networks that rely on shared religious affiliations. Such networks function as a means of political control, as the religious leaders can mobilize their followers in support of particular elites, who in turn reciprocate by endorsing or funding religious institutions. This symbiotic relationship consolidates power while excluding those outside the religious-political alliance. These practices can exacerbate existing religious tensions, turning them into tools for political gain, and ultimately reinforcing patronage systems that benefit the elite at the expense of broader social cohesion.

These manipulative practices exacerbate social divisions by amplifying religious and sectarian differences. By invoking religious identities to rally support, elites deepen the divides between communities, leading to heightened mistrust and often antagonism. Over time, these strategies fuel ethno-religious conflicts that destabilize the social fabric, perpetuating cycles of violence and reinforcing the

elite's control over divided communities. This dynamic reflects a classic Marxist interpretation, where ruling classes use ideology—in this case, religious identity—as a means to prevent the unification of lower classes, preserving their own power through manufactured divisions.

Implications of Marxist Critique on Religion for Nigerian Socio-economic Development

The Marxist critique of religion as an enabler of inequality is particularly relevant in the Nigerian context, where religious institutions often reinforce economic and social disparities. Rather than advocating for systemic change, many Nigerian religious institutions align themselves with the state, focusing on maintaining the existing order that privileges elites while marginalizing the poor. This alignment allows elites to use religious platforms to legitimize their power, which stymies efforts to address poverty, education, and healthcare inequalities.

Religious institutions in Nigeria sometimes play significant roles in providing social services, often substituting for the state in areas where it fails to meet the needs of marginalized communities. For example, churches and mosques provide healthcare, education, and welfare services, creating dependencies that bind the population to religious organizations rather than to the state, (Omeje, 2012). While these services are essential, they can also perpetuate class divisions by reinforcing the power of religious elites who control access to these resources. As such, religious institutions may inadvertently hinder progressive change by focusing on charity and moral appeals instead of addressing the systemic causes of inequality. According to Sibani and Efe (2016), many Nigerians forgo the spiritual values emphasizing material wealth, glorify and worship it at the expense of the spiritual aspect of man. They think that one without money is dumped as totally useless and irrelevant. This is why evils of all descriptions abound in Nigeria. Most Nigerians want to amass wealth, achieve success, become recognized, relevant and possibly worshipped.

This reliance on religious institutions for social support limits social mobility and reinforces structural poverty, as services are often delivered within a framework that does not challenge existing power dynamics. The result is a socio-economic landscape where religious charity substitutes for state responsibility, keeping the

underprivileged reliant on elite-controlled institutions rather than empowering them to demand systemic reforms. This outcome reflects Marx's assertion that religion serves to pacify the oppressed, offering temporary relief without challenging the fundamental sources of exploitation and inequality.

Recommendations

1. Religion should stop becoming political and focus on teachings that will promote wellbeing of the masses and wealth creation.
2. Religion should resist any politician who comes with false hope for political campaign. Religious platforms should not be used to brainwash the suffering man.
3. Religious adherent should understand that their rewards are also here on earth, hence, they should resist wicked politicians and religious leaders who act as machineries against protest from the poor masses.

Conclusion

In examining the role of religion in Nigeria's socio-political landscape, the Marxist perspective sheds light on how religious institutions and leaders can align with elite interests to reinforce social inequalities and legitimize power structures. Religion in Nigeria has historically been intertwined with political authority, serving both as a tool for control and a means to legitimize the dominance of political elites. The manipulation of religious identities for political gain has often exacerbated social divisions, contributing to ethno-religious tensions and conflict. For Nigeria's development, addressing the entanglement of religion and politics is crucial. Separating religious influence from political processes could help reduce ethno-religious tensions, promote national unity, and create a more inclusive environment for socio-economic progress. Moving toward a secular governance model that respects religious diversity while preventing its exploitation for political purposes may be essential for achieving greater social equity and fostering a stronger and more unified Nigeria.

References

Adebanwi, W. & Obadare, E. (2010). When corruption fights back: Democracy and elite interest in Nigeria's anti-corruption war. *Journal of Modern African Studies*, *48*(2), 263-292.

Albert, I. O. (2002). *Inter-ethnic relations in a Nigerian city: A historical perspective on the Hausa-Igbo conflict in Kano*. African World Press.

Falola, T. (2001). *Violence in Nigeria: The crisis of religious politics and secular ideologies*. University of Rochester Press.

Ibrahim, J. (2011). Religion and politics: A critical analysis of Nigeria's political structure. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 5(4), 137-146.

Kukah, M. H. (1993). *Religion, politics and power in Northern Nigeria.* Spectrum Books.

Larrain, D. D. (1983). *Hegemony and culture: Politics and religious change among the Yoruba.* University of Chicago Press.

Omeje, K. (2012). *Conflict and peacebuilding in the African Great Lakes Region*. Indiana University Press.

Peel, J. D. Y. (2000). *Religious encounter and the making of the Yoruba*. Indiana University Press.

Sibani, C. M. and Efe, B. N. (2016). Economic Acquisition and Child Labour in Rivers State, Nigeria: Let the Bible Respond. *Idea- Studia Nad Struktura I Rozwojem Pojec Filozoficznych, XXVIII(1),* 279-292. Universitas Bialostocensis, Poland.

Smith, D. J. (2007). *A culture of corruption: Everyday deception and popular discontent in Nigeria*. Princeton University Press.