The Paradox of Democracy Promotion: International Pro-Democracy Organizations and Political Sovereignty in Nigeria

By

Agala I. Danola
Department Of History and International Studies
Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa, Nigeria
agaladi@fuotuoke.edu.ng
ORCHID ID:0009-0000-6110-9454
07032788277

Abstract

Since the end of the Cold War, democracy promotion has become a central feature of international relations. International pro-democracy organizations now play active roles in supporting elections, governance reforms, civic participation, and institutional development across many developing countries. Nigeria, since returning to civilian rule in 1999, has been a major beneficiary of these interventions. While these organizations have contributed positively to democratic consolidation in Nigeria, their involvement has also raised important concerns about political sovereignty and external influence. This paper explores this tension, arguing that democracy promotion in Nigeria is both beneficial and problematic at the same time. Using Liberal Institutionalism and Dependency Theory, and relying on qualitative secondary data, the study shows that international democracy support has strengthened electoral processes and institutions, but it has also created dependency and raised questions about foreign influence in domestic politics. The paper concludes that Nigeria's democratic progress depends on balancing external support with strong domestic ownership of democratic processes.

Keywords: Democracy Promotion; International Pro-Democracy Organizations; Political Sovereignty; Nigeria; Democratic Consolidation.

Introduction

Since the end of the Cold War, democracy promotion has become one of the most prominent activities of international organizations in global politics. Following the

collapse of the Soviet Union, many Western states and international institutions intensified efforts to encourage democratic transitions across Africa, Asia, Eastern Europe, and Latin America. This period is often described as the "third wave of democratization" (Huntington, 1991), marked by the spread of electoral democracy, constitutional rule, and political liberalization in many previously authoritarian states.

In this global context, organizations such as the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), European Union (EU), United States Agency for International Development (USAID), National Democratic Institute (NDI), and International Republican Institute (IRI) have played active roles in supporting democratic development. Their activities include election support, institutional strengthening, governance reforms, civic education, and assistance to civil society organizations. While these efforts are generally presented as contributions to democratic consolidation, they also raise deeper questions about political influence, national autonomy, and the boundaries of external involvement in domestic affairs.

Nigeria offers a very important case for understanding these issues. After many years of military rule, the country returned to democratic governance in 1999, ushering in the Fourth Republic. Since then, international organizations have remained visibly involved in supporting Nigeria's democratic process, particularly in areas such as elections, institutional reforms, and civil society engagement. Although Nigeria has recorded some progress in democratic practice, including regular elections and improved political participation, it continues to face challenges such as electoral disputes, weak institutions, corruption, and recurring political tensions. These challenges have often justified continued external support.

However, the growing involvement of international pro-democracy organizations in Nigeria has not been without controversy. While their activities are often credited with strengthening democratic institutions and promoting good governance, there is also concern that such involvement may go beyond support and begin to shape domestic political processes in ways that affect Nigeria's sovereignty. This has created a situation where democracy is being promoted and,

in some respects, strengthened, yet questions remain about how much control local actors truly have over their own political development.

Against this background, this study examines the paradox of democracy promotion in Nigeria. It looks at the role international pro-democracy organizations have played since 1999, focusing on how they support elections, institutions, and civil society, and how these interventions have influenced democratic consolidation. At the same time, it critically explores the extent to which these activities may raise concerns about political independence and domestic ownership of the democratic process. The study therefore seeks to provide a balanced understanding of both the contributions and contradictions of democracy promotion in Nigeria's Fourth Republic.

Conceptual Clarifications and Literature Review

Conceptual Clarifications

Democracy Promotion refers to the efforts made by external actors to encourage and support democratic governance in other countries. These efforts usually take the form of election monitoring, institutional reforms, civic education, human rights advocacy, and support for democratic institutions (Carothers, 1999). In most cases, democracy promotion is justified as a way of helping countries strengthen accountability, participation, and good governance, especially in transitional political systems.

International Pro-Democracy Organizations are international institutions both governmental and non-governmental that work to support and strengthen democracy globally. Their activities often include electoral assistance, governance reforms, and capacity building for civil society organizations. In Nigeria, key actors in this space include the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), European Union (EU), United States Agency for International Development (USAID), National Democratic Institute (NDI), and International Foundation for Electoral Systems (IFES). These organizations have been actively involved in Nigeria's democratic process since the return to civilian rule in 1999.

Political Sovereignty refers to the ability and authority of a state to govern itself without external interference or control (Morgenthau, 1967). It is one of the core

principles of international relations and emphasizes the independence of states in making decisions about their political and institutional affairs. Concerns about sovereignty often arise when external actors become deeply involved in domestic governance processes.

Scholarly debates on democracy promotion are divided between those who see it as beneficial and those who are more critical of its implications.

On the positive side, scholars such as Huntington (1991) argue that international support played an important role in sustaining the "third wave of democratization," especially in countries transitioning from authoritarian rule. Diamond (1999) also maintains that democracy promotion helps to stabilize new democracies by strengthening institutions and encouraging democratic norms and practices during their vulnerable early stages.

Carothers (1999) adds that democracy assistance has contributed to improvements in governance, electoral processes, and the growth of civil society in many countries. In Nigeria, Ojo (2014) observes that international involvement since 1999 has helped improve voter awareness, electoral transparency, and public engagement in democratic processes.

However, other scholars raise concerns about the unintended consequences of democracy promotion. Dependency theorists like Frank (1967) argue that external assistance can create long-term reliance on foreign actors rather than encouraging genuine internal development. From this perspective, democracy promotion may reinforce unequal relationships between donor and recipient countries.

Similarly, Carothers (2006) cautions that democracy promotion is not always driven by purely democratic ideals. In some cases, it may reflect the strategic and political interests of donor states, raising questions about neutrality and consistency in implementation.

From a realist perspective, Morgenthau (1967) emphasizes that international politics is ultimately driven by national interest and power. In this sense, the principle of sovereignty remains central, and excessive external involvement in

domestic political processes may weaken a state's autonomy and control over its own political development.

Ultimately, the literature shows a clear divide. While some scholars emphasize the positive impact of democracy promotion on institution-building and democratic consolidation, others are concerned about issues of dependency, external influence, and threats to sovereignty. It is this tension between democratic support and political autonomy that forms the basis for examining the experience of Nigeria in this study.

Methodology

Research Design

This study is based on a qualitative research design. This approach is chosen because the focus of the study is not on numerical measurement, but on understanding ideas, institutional practices, and political processes surrounding democracy promotion in Nigeria. A qualitative design makes it possible to examine in depth how international pro-democracy organizations operate and how their activities have shaped Nigeria's democratic experience since 1999.

Sources of Data

The study relies entirely on secondary sources of data. These include books, journal articles, policy papers, official reports, and documents from relevant international organizations. Key sources include the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), European Union (EU), United States Agency for International Development (USAID), National Democratic Institute (NDI), and International Foundation for Electoral Systems (IFES). These materials provide useful information on the nature of democracy promotion, the strategies used, and their outcomes in Nigeria and other comparable settings.

Method of Data Analysis

The data gathered from these secondary sources are analyzed using both descriptive and interpretive methods. The descriptive method is used to present and explain the activities of international pro-democracy organizations in Nigeria, especially in areas such as elections, institutional reforms, and civil society support. The interpretive method goes a step further by critically examining what

these activities mean for Nigeria's democratic development and political sovereignty.

Justification of Methodology

This methodology is considered appropriate because the study deals with complex political and institutional issues that require explanation rather than measurement. A qualitative approach allows for a broader understanding of the subject by drawing on different scholarly and institutional perspectives. Also, using secondary sources ensures that the study is grounded in reliable existing knowledge and provides room for a well-informed analysis of democracy promotion in Nigeria.

Overall, this approach helps the study to carefully balance both the positive contributions of international democracy assistance and the concerns it raises about sovereignty and external influence in Nigeria's democratic process.

Theoretical Framework

This study is guided by two main theoretical perspectives: Liberal Institutionalism and Dependency Theory. The combination of these two theories is important because it helps to explain both sides of democracy promotion in Nigeria, its benefits as well as its challenges.

Liberal Institutionalism

Liberal Institutionalism focuses on the role of international institutions in encouraging cooperation among states and improving governance outcomes. Keohane (1984) argues that even in an international system without a central authority, institutions help states cooperate by reducing uncertainty, providing reliable information, and creating shared rules and expectations.

In this study, Liberal Institutionalism helps to explain the activities of international pro-democracy organizations such as the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), European Union (EU), and United States Agency for International Development (USAID). These organizations support Nigeria's democratic process through election assistance, institutional strengthening, governance reforms, and civic education. From this perspective, their involvement

is seen as a form of cooperation that helps Nigeria build stronger democratic institutions and improve political stability.

Dependency Theory

Dependency Theory offers a more critical perspective. It argues that relationships between developed and developing countries are often unequal, with developing states becoming dependent on external support in ways that limit their autonomy. Frank (1967) explains that this dependency structure can prevent genuine independent development, as external actors often shape internal economic and political decisions.

In the Nigerian context, this theory helps to explain the heavy reliance of both government institutions and civil society organizations on donor funding, technical assistance, and policy guidance from international actors. While such support may strengthen capacity in some areas, it also raises concerns about long-term dependence and reduced domestic control over political processes.

Relevance to the Study

Taken together, these two theories provide a useful framework for understanding the central argument of this study. Liberal Institutionalism highlights the positive contributions of international organizations in supporting democratic development in Nigeria. On the other hand, Dependency Theory draws attention to the risks of external influence and the possibility of weakened sovereignty.

By combining both perspectives, the study is able to better explain the paradox of democracy promotion in Nigeria where international support can strengthen democratic institutions while at the same time raising important questions about political independence and local ownership of the democratic process.

Historical Background of Democracy Promotion in Nigeria

Nigeria's experience with democracy has been shaped by a long and uneven political history marked by cycles of civilian rule, military intervention, and gradual democratic recovery. At independence in 1960, Nigeria adopted a parliamentary system of government, reflecting British colonial influence. However, the early years of independence were quickly undermined by deep

ethnic divisions, regional rivalries, and political instability. These tensions eventually contributed to the collapse of the First Republic, which was brought to an end by the military coup of 1966.

From 1966 to 1999, Nigeria was largely under military rule, with only brief and unstable attempts at civilian governance. During this long period, democratic institutions were significantly weakened, political participation was restricted, and civil liberties were frequently suspended (Joseph, 1991). Military regimes dominated the political landscape, centralizing power and limiting the development of democratic norms and practices. As a result, democratic consolidation was delayed, and political culture was largely shaped by authoritarian governance structures.

A major turning point in Nigeria's democratic history occurred in 1993 with the annulment of the presidential election held on June 12. The election, widely regarded as one of the freest and fairest in the country's history, was believed to have been won by Moshood Kashimawo Abiola. Its annulment triggered widespread political unrest, deepened public distrust in the military government, and attracted strong international condemnation. According to Diamond (1995), the crisis significantly damaged Nigeria's international reputation and intensified both domestic and external pressure for a return to civilian rule.

Following the death of General Sani Abacha in 1998, a transition programme was initiated under General Abdulsalami Abubakar, which eventually led to the return of democratic governance in 1999. This transition marked the beginning of Nigeria's Fourth Republic, with Olusegun Obasanjo elected as President in a historic return to civilian rule.

Since 1999, Nigeria has maintained an unbroken period of democratic governance. During this time, international organizations have become increasingly involved in supporting the country's democratic process. Agencies such as the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) have played active roles in providing electoral assistance, strengthening institutions, and supporting civil society development (UNDP, 2020; USAID, 2021). Their involvement has been

particularly visible in election monitoring, voter education, and governance reforms aimed at consolidating democratic practices.

Despite these developments, Nigeria's democratic journey continues to face significant challenges. Issues such as electoral malpractice, corruption, insecurity, weak institutional capacity, and political violence have persisted and, in some cases, undermined public confidence in the democratic process. While international support has contributed to improvements in certain areas, these ongoing challenges highlight the complexity of democratic consolidation in Nigeria and provide the context within which democracy promotion must be understood.

Contributions of International Pro-Democracy Organizations

International pro-democracy organizations have played a sustained and visible role in Nigeria's democratic development since the return to civilian rule in 1999. Their contributions cut across electoral assistance, institutional strengthening, civic engagement, policy reform support, and post-election mediation. While these interventions have supported democratic consolidation in several ways, they have also generated continuing debates about sovereignty and external influence.

Electoral Support and Election Management

One of the most significant areas of contribution has been electoral support. Organizations such as the European Union (EU), National Democratic Institute (NDI), International Foundation for Electoral Systems (IFES), and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) have consistently supported Nigeria's electoral process through observation missions, technical assistance, voter education, and training for electoral officials.

For instance, the EU Election Observation Mission (2019) noted that international monitoring played a key role in improving transparency and credibility in Nigeria's elections, particularly in 2011 and 2015. The 2015 general elections are often highlighted as a turning point because they marked the first time an incumbent president peacefully conceded defeat, a development widely seen as strengthening democratic norms in Nigeria (EU Election Observation Mission, 2019). Similarly, IFES has provided long-term technical support to the

Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), including assistance in voter registration systems and electoral logistics, which contributed to improvements in election administration.

USAID (2021) also reports that its democracy and governance programs in Nigeria have focused on improving electoral integrity, reducing violence around elections, and enhancing citizen participation in the electoral process. These interventions have helped to increase public confidence in electoral procedures, even though challenges remain.

Institutional Development and Governance Reform

International organizations have also contributed significantly to strengthening democratic institutions in Nigeria. Agencies such as UNDP and USAID have supported institutional reforms aimed at improving governance, accountability, and rule of law.

UNDP (2020) highlights its long-term support for capacity building in public institutions, including training programs for public officials, strengthening of electoral management bodies, and support for anti-corruption initiatives. These efforts have helped to improve administrative efficiency and institutional coordination within key governance structures.

Similarly, support has been extended to institutions such as the judiciary and anti-corruption agencies like the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC). This assistance has included technical training, policy advisory services, and institutional strengthening aimed at improving transparency and accountability in governance processes. While outcomes vary, these interventions have contributed to ongoing reforms in Nigeria's democratic institutions.

Civic Engagement and Civil Society Development

Another important contribution of international pro-democracy organizations is the strengthening of civil society and civic participation. Through funding, training, and technical assistance, organizations such as NDI, USAID, and EU-funded initiatives have supported civil society organizations working in areas

such as voter education, human rights advocacy, election monitoring, and governance accountability.

According to Ojo (2014), international support has significantly enhanced the capacity of civil society organizations in Nigeria, enabling them to play more active roles in electoral processes and public accountability. Civil society groups have become more visible in monitoring elections, advocating for reforms, and engaging citizens in democratic discussions.

In addition, civic education programmes supported by international partners have contributed to increased political awareness among citizens, particularly in rural and underserved communities. This has helped to expand participation and improve understanding of democratic rights and responsibilities.

Conflict Prevention, Mediation, and Democratic Stability

Beyond elections and institutions, international organizations have also contributed to political stability through conflict prevention and mediation efforts. In several election cycles, particularly in 2011 and 2015, international actors worked alongside domestic stakeholders to reduce electoral violence and promote peaceful outcomes.

For example, UNDP-supported peacebuilding initiatives have included early warning systems and conflict-sensitive electoral support programmes aimed at reducing tensions before and after elections. These interventions have helped to mitigate electoral violence in some regions, although insecurity remains a major challenge in Nigeria's democratic process.

Policy Support and Democratic Reforms

International organizations have also influenced policy development and democratic reforms in Nigeria through advisory services and technical partnerships. USAID (2021) notes that its governance programs have supported reforms aimed at improving transparency in public financial management and strengthening legislative oversight.

These policy-level engagements have contributed to discussions on constitutional reforms, decentralization, and institutional accountability. Although the extent of influence varies, these interventions have often shaped policy direction in areas related to democratic governance and public sector reform.

Democracy Promotion and Political Sovereignty

Despite these contributions, democracy promotion in Nigeria continues to raise important concerns about political sovereignty and external influence. One key issue is the extent to which foreign actors may indirectly shape domestic political processes. Carothers (2006) argues that even when democracy assistance is framed as technical support, it can still influence political priorities and institutional direction in recipient countries.

Another concern relates to dependency. Many civil society organizations and even some state institutions rely heavily on donor funding and external technical assistance. From a dependency theory perspective, Frank (1967) argues that this kind of reliance can create long-term structural dependence that limits genuine domestic autonomy and self-directed development.

There is also the argument that democracy promotion is not always politically neutral. In some cases, it may reflect the strategic and geopolitical interests of donor countries rather than purely democratic ideals. This raises questions about consistency, selectivity, and the broader motivations behind external involvement in domestic political systems.

Overall, international pro-democracy organizations have made important contributions to Nigeria's democratic development, particularly in improving elections, strengthening institutions, and supporting civil society engagement. However, these positive outcomes exist alongside persistent concerns about sovereignty, dependency, and external influence. This dual reality reinforces the central paradox of democracy promotion in Nigeria: while external support has helped to deepen democratic practices, it has also raised critical questions about ownership and political autonomy.

The Paradox of Democracy Promotion in Nigeria: A Critical Analysis

The experience of democracy promotion in Nigeria presents a clear but uncomfortable paradox. On one side, international pro-democracy organizations have contributed significantly to the consolidation of democratic governance since 1999. On the other side, their involvement has generated growing concerns about political sovereignty, domestic control, and long-term dependence. This dual effect lies at the heart of Nigeria's democratic experience in the Fourth Republic.

Democracy Promotion as Democratic Support

There is strong evidence that international organizations have played a positive role in strengthening Nigeria's democratic institutions. Agencies such as the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) have supported electoral processes, institutional reforms, civic education, and human rights advocacy. These interventions have contributed to improving the technical capacity of electoral bodies, increasing voter awareness, and strengthening civil society participation in governance (UNDP, 2020; USAID, 2021).

In practical terms, these contributions are visible in Nigeria's relatively improved electoral processes over time. International election observation missions, technical assistance to the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), and civic engagement programmes have helped reduce some of the worst forms of electoral manipulation and increased transparency in the system. While Nigeria's elections are still imperfect, there is little doubt that international support has played a role in raising procedural standards and encouraging political participation.

Sovereignty Concerns and External Influence

However, alongside these contributions is a growing concern about the extent of external influence on Nigeria's domestic political processes. From a realist perspective, Morgenthau (1967) emphasizes that sovereignty is a core principle of international relations, and any form of sustained external involvement in internal political affairs raises questions about autonomy and self-determination.

In Nigeria, concerns about sovereignty emerge when international actors are deeply involved not only in technical electoral assistance but also in shaping governance frameworks, institutional reforms, and policy directions. Although such involvement is often presented as neutral support, critics argue that it can influence how democratic institutions are designed and operated, thereby limiting full domestic control over political processes.

For example, donor-driven governance reforms and externally designed electoral assistance programmes may unintentionally prioritize external standards over locally developed political realities. This creates a situation where democratic processes, although improved in form, may still reflect external preferences in substance.

Dependency and the Question of Ownership

Another major dimension of the paradox is the issue of dependency. Many civil society organizations and even some public institutions in Nigeria rely heavily on donor funding, technical assistance, and international partnerships. While this support has strengthened capacity in some areas, it also raises concerns about sustainability and independence.

Frank (1967), through Dependency Theory, argues that such relationships often produce structural dependence, where developing countries become reliant on external resources and expertise. In the Nigerian case, this dependence can weaken local ownership of democratic processes, as key activities; ranging from voter education to election monitoring, are often externally funded or externally guided.

This raises an important question: to what extent can democracy be considered fully indigenous when significant portions of its support structure depend on external actors?

Strategic Interests and Selective Engagement

The paradox is further complicated by the perception that democracy promotion is not always driven purely by democratic ideals. Carothers (2006) argues that

democracy assistance can sometimes reflect the strategic and geopolitical interests of donor countries rather than a consistent commitment to democratic values.

In Nigeria, this raises concerns about selectivity and inconsistency in international engagement. For instance, external support may intensify during critical electoral periods or political crises but reduce during periods of relative stability. This pattern creates the impression that democracy promotion is partly reactive and strategically motivated rather than purely developmental.

The Core Paradox

Taken together, these dynamics reveal the central paradox of democracy promotion in Nigeria. On one hand, international organizations have made undeniable contributions to strengthening democratic institutions, improving elections, and expanding civic participation (UNDP, 2020; USAID, 2021). On the other hand, their involvement raises legitimate concerns about sovereignty, dependence, and external influence over domestic political processes (Morgenthau, 1967; Frank, 1967; Carothers, 2006).

In simple terms, democracy promotion in Nigeria strengthens democratic structures but simultaneously raises questions about who ultimately controls those structures. This tension does not cancel out the value of international support, but it highlights the complexity of external involvement in domestic political development.

Analytical Implication

The implication of this paradox is that democracy promotion in Nigeria cannot be understood in purely positive or negative terms. Instead, it must be seen as a dual process—one that contributes to democratic consolidation while also reshaping the boundaries of political autonomy. The challenge, therefore, is not whether international support should exist, but how it can be structured in a way that strengthens democracy without undermining domestic ownership and sovereignty.

Recommendations

Drawing from the analysis of the paradox surrounding democracy promotion in Nigeria, it is clear that while international pro-democracy organizations have contributed to democratic development, their involvement also raises concerns about sovereignty and domestic ownership. Against this backdrop, the following recommendations are made.

1.Strengthening domestic institutions

Nigeria needs to continue building strong and independent democratic institutions such as the judiciary, electoral bodies, and legislative structures. When these institutions are effective, the country becomes less dependent on external actors for democratic stability.

2.Defining clearer boundaries for external engagement

Engagement with international pro-democracy organizations should be guided by clearly defined national priorities. While cooperation is important, it should not compromise Nigeria's ability to independently determine its political direction.

3.Encouraging local ownership of democratic reforms

Democratic development must be driven primarily by domestic actors. Civil society groups, political institutions, and community stakeholders should take ownership of reform processes, with international partners playing a supportive rather than leading role.

4.Regulating the activities of international NGOs

There is a need for a more coherent and transparent regulatory framework to guide the activities of international organizations in Nigeria. This is not to restrict legitimate support, but to ensure accountability and respect for national sovereignty.

5.Building the capacity of local civil society organizations

Local NGOs and civic groups should be better supported through funding, training, and institutional development. This will reduce overreliance on foreign organizations and strengthen indigenous democratic advocacy.

6. Ensuring transparency in foreign assistance
All forms of external democracy support should be transparent and openly documented. This will help build public trust and reduce suspicion about hidden political agendas.

7. Promoting civic and political education
Greater investment should be made in civic education so that citizens better understand democratic principles, political participation, and the importance of sovereignty. An informed citizenry is less vulnerable to manipulation.

8. Balancing sovereignty with international cooperation
Nigeria should not isolate itself from global democratic support systems, but it must engage them in a way that protects its sovereignty. The goal should be cooperation without dependency.

Conclusion
This study has examined the role of international pro-democracy organizations in Nigeria's democratic development since 1999. It finds that organizations such as UNDP, USAID, EU, NDI, and IFES have made important contributions to electoral support, institutional strengthening, civic engagement, and governance reforms, which have helped to improve Nigeria's democratic processes in several ways.

However, the study also shows that these contributions come with significant concerns. The involvement of external actors raises questions about political sovereignty, domestic ownership, and long-term dependency on donor funding and technical assistance. While international support has strengthened aspects of democracy, it has also created a situation where key democratic processes are, at times, influenced by external actors.

The study therefore concludes that the central challenge for Nigeria is not the rejection of international support, but its effective management. Sustainable democracy will depend on stronger domestic institutions, responsible leadership, and greater local control over democratic processes. In this sense, international assistance should complement, not replace, indigenous democratic capacity and ownership.

References

Carothers, T. (1999). Aiding democracy abroad: The learning curve. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

Carothers, T. (2006). The backlash against democracy promotion. Foreign Affairs, 85(2), 55–68.

Diamond, L. (1999). Developing democracy: Toward consolidation. Johns Hopkins University Press.

EU Election Observation Mission. (2019). Nigeria general elections final report. European Union.

Frank, A. G. (1967). Capitalism and underdevelopment in Latin America. Monthly Review Press.

Huntington, S. P. (1991). The third wave: Democratization in the late twentieth century. University of Oklahoma Press.

Joseph, R. A. (1991). Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria: The rise and fall of the Second Republic. Cambridge University Press.

Keohane, R. O. (1984). After hegemony: Cooperation and discord in the world political economy. Princeton University Press.

Morgenthau, H. J. (1967). Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace (4th ed.). Alfred A. Knopf.

Ojo, E. O. (2014). Civil society and democratic consolidation in Nigeria. Journal of African Elections, 13(1), 1–25.

UNDP. (2020). Democratic governance and development support in Nigeria: Country report. United Nations Development Programme.

USAID. (2021). Democracy, human rights, and governance in Nigeria: Program overview. United States Agency for International Development.