# Critical Issues in the Evolution of the North-South Divide

**Otuza, Precious Nnamdi**
History and Diplomatic Studies
Ignaitus Ajuru University of Education Port Harcourt.
Otuzapn900@gmail.com

**Kingdom E. Orji**
Department of History and Diplomatic Studies,
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt , Rivers State, Nigeria

**Fabia, Dorathy Olamini**
History and Diplomatic Studies
Ignaitus Ajuru University of Education Port Harcourt.
dorathyfabia6@gmail.com

**Abstract**

The paper examined critical Issues in the Evolution of the North-South Divide, the North◎South divide remains one of the most enduring fault lines in global relations, reflecting historical, political, economic, and socio-cultural inequalities that continue to shape the trajectory of development across nations. This study interrogates the critical issues underpinning the evolution of the divide, tracing its foundations to colonial exploitation. , structural dependency, and systemic marginalization within international politics. It explored how unequal economic structures, technological monopolization, trade imbalances, and mounting debt burdens have entrenched the subordination of the global South, while the North consolidates dominance in innovation, industrialization, and knowledge production. The paper further highlights disparities in health, education, climate change vulnerabilities, and human development indicators, exposing the multidimensional nature of global inequality. In analyzing the role of international organizations in both perpetuating and attempting to mitigate the divide, it reveals the contradictions embedded in contemporary global governance. The paper recommended that developing countries should strengthen regional economic blocs such as the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), ECOWAS, and ASEAN to enhance intra-South trade, pool resources, and promote industrialization amongst others the paper concluded that the North-South divide reflects both historical injustices and contemporary inequalities that must be addressed with urgency. A restructured international system grounded in fairness, equity, and mutual respect is required to dismantle entrenched hierarchies.

**Keywords:** North◎South Divide, Global inequality, Dependency, Trade imbalance, , International Co- operation.

## Introduction

The North-South divide represents one of the most enduring fault lines in the global system, capturing the persistent inequalities between developed and developing countries. Emerging from historical, political, and economic contexts, this divide highlights the unequal distribution of wealth, resources, and power across the international system. Scholars have

argued that the origins of the North-South divide are deeply rooted in the legacies of colonialism, imperialism, and the structural imbalances that continue to define global relations today. The global North comprising mainly Western Europe, North America, and parts of East Asia is characterized by advanced industrialization, high income levels, and political influence in international institutions. In contrast, the global South largely made up of Africa, Latin America, the Middle East, and parts of Asia struggles with economic underdevelopment, political marginalization, and structural dependency.The critical issues in the evolution of the North-South divide are multifaceted and dynamic. They span across economic structures, technological capabilities, governance systems, and global political arrangements. The divide is not only material but also ideological, reflecting how global policies, trade dynamics, and institutional arrangements reinforce historical inequalities . For instance, while the global North has historically benefited from industrial revolutions, scientific breakthroughs, and global trade dominance, the global South has been confined to the supply of raw materials, a condition that entrenches dependency and limits self-sustaining development.The evolution of this divide has significant implications for international relations and development studies. It raises fundamental questions about justice, fairness, and the possibilities of equitable global partnerships. With challenges such as climate change, global pandemics, and digital transformation, the divide becomes even more pronounced in the contemporary era. Thus, critically examining the issues surrounding the North-South divide allows for a better understanding of both historical and contemporary global inequalities while also offering pathways to rethinking international cooperation for shared prosperity.

**North-South Divide**

The North-South divide is a conceptual framework used to describe the socio-economic and political disparities between the industrialized nations of the North and the developing regions of the South. This categorization is not merely geographical but symbolic of structural inequalities that shape international relations and global development (Todaro & Smith, 2020). The divide reflects a hierarchy in which the North comprising North America, Western Europe, Japan, and other advanced economies enjoys higher levels of industrialization, income, technological advancement, and global political influence, while the South encompassing Africa, Latin America, South Asia, and parts of the Middle East remains vulnerable to underdevelopment, poverty, and dependency (Chukwuemeka, 2020).

One critical issue in understanding the North-South divide is the historical context in which it evolved. Colonialism entrenched structural inequalities by placing the South in the position of resource provider and consumer of manufactured goods from the North. This arrangement ensured that the North accumulated wealth, built industries, and expanded its technological base, while the South was left with extractive economies dependent on global markets (Rodney, 2018; Oladipo, 2021). In the postcolonial era, these inequalities became institutionalized in the structures of global trade, finance, and politics. Institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and World Trade Organization (WTO) are often criticized for advancing policies that disproportionately benefit the North while leaving the South in cycles of debt, trade imbalances, and austerity (Eze, 2022; Olawale, 2023).

Moreover, the North-South divide is not static but evolving with contemporary challenges such as globalization, technological innovation, and climate change. While globalization has increased interdependence, it has also accentuated inequalities as the North dominates high-value sectors like information technology and artificial intelligence, while the South struggles with infrastructure deficits and unemployment (Oluwaseun, 2019). Similarly, environmental issues such as climate change disproportionately affect the South despite the North being historically responsible for higher carbon emissions. These disparities have generated debates on justice, equity, and the need for more inclusive global governance structures (Okafor, 2021). The North-South divide thus goes beyond mere descriptive categorizations; it is a manifestation of deeply entrenched power relations that define access to resources, decision-making authority, and opportunities for development. Understanding its evolution is crucial in identifying pathways to reducing global inequalities and promoting more balanced partnerships in the international system.

**Theoretical Framework**

Dependency Theory 1960s Propounded by Raúl Prebisch and later expanded by Andre Gunder Frank, 1967)

**Dependency Theory**

Dependency Theory emerged in the late 1950s and 1960s as a response to modernization theory, which assumed that all nations could follow the same path of development. Raúl Prebisch, an Argentine economist, first articulated the idea through his work with the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America (ECLA), observing that global trade relations systematically favored industrialized nations while underdeveloping peripheral economies. His argument was later expanded by scholars like Andre Gunder Frank (1967), Fernando Henrique Cardoso, and Samir Amin.

The theory posits that the global economy is structured into a core (the North) and a periphery (the South), where the core exploits the periphery through unequal trade, capital flows, and technological dependence. Rather than developing in tandem, the prosperity of the North is achieved at the expense of the South, leading to structural underdevelopment in the periphery.

Applied to the North◎South divide, Dependency Theory explains why developing nations remain trapped in cycles of debt, resource dependency, trade imbalances, and technological gaps, while the developed North consolidates its dominance. The theory also sheds light on the persistence of global inequalities despite decades of aid, trade liberalization, and international cooperation (Frank, 1967; Prebisch, 1962).

**Colonialism and the Foundations of Global Inequality**

The historical roots of the North-South divide cannot be understood without a critical examination of colonialism and its enduring legacies. Colonialism was not merely a political enterprise but an economic and social project that entrenched asymmetrical relations between the colonizing powers of the North and the colonized territories of the South. European colonial powers extracted resources, controlled trade, and reorganized the economies of their colonies to serve metropolitan interests, creating a structural imbalance that persists in

contemporary global relations (Rodney, 2018; Adegboye, 2018). This exploitation established the foundations of global inequality, as the North accumulated capital, developed industries, and modernized infrastructure, while the South was relegated to the periphery of the global economy.

The colonial economic system was organized around extraction and dependency. Colonies were compelled to produce primary commodities such as minerals, agricultural products, and raw materials, which were exported to the North for processing and manufacturing. Finished goods were then sold back to the colonies at higher prices, creating a cycle of dependency that undermined indigenous industries and technological advancement (Chukwuemeka, 2020; Oladipo, 2021). The imposition of cash-crop economies in Africa and parts of Asia disrupted subsistence agriculture, increased vulnerability to global market fluctuations, and reinforced structural poverty.

In contemporary times, the legacy of colonialism continues to manifest in the form of neocolonialism and structural dependency. The South remains dependent on global North markets for exports and reliant on external loans and aid for development. This entrenched imbalance demonstrates that colonialism was not just a historical episode but a critical foundation for the evolution of the North-South divide. As Olawale (2023) asserts, unless these historical injustices are critically addressed, the divide will continue to widen, undermining global equity and sustainable development.

**Economic Structures and Dependency Relations**

The persistence of the North-South divide is deeply connected to the economic structures and dependency relations that have shaped global political economy since the colonial era. Dependency theory, advanced by Latin American and African scholars, argues that the economies of the global South are structured in a way that perpetuates dependence on the North for capital, technology, and markets (Frank, 1967; Ake, 2017). This structural relationship ensures that development in the South is conditioned by the needs and policies of the North, thereby limiting self-sustained growth. In many African and Latin American states, the economy is still heavily reliant on the export of raw materials and the import of manufactured goods, reproducing patterns established during the colonial period (Adegboye, 2018; Chukwuemeka, 2020).

Economic dependency is further reinforced through international trade and financial systems. Developing nations in the South often rely on Northern institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank for loans, which come with stringent conditionalities such as structural adjustment programs. These policies, which were widely implemented in Africa in the 1980s and 1990s, demanded privatization, liberalization, and austerity measures that weakened public institutions and social welfare systems (Rodney, 2018; Eze, 2022). While intended to stabilize economies, they instead deepened poverty, widened inequality, and entrenched the North◎s control over the economic policies of Southern states (Oluwaseun, 2019).

The North often uses its dominance in international organizations to push for policies that favor its corporations and markets, leaving the South with little room to maneuver (Olawale,

2023). In this sense, dependency is both structural and political, limiting the agency of Southern nations in shaping their economic destinies. Therefore, addressing the North-South divide requires rethinking global economic relations in ways that empower the South to build resilient economies, diversify production, and reduce reliance on the North for development pathways.

**Technological Gaps and Industrialization Challenges**

One of the most persistent critical issues in the evolution of the North-South divide is the technological gap between developed and developing nations. The global North has historically been at the forefront of industrial revolutions, from steam power to digital technologies, while the global South has largely remained a consumer of innovations produced elsewhere. This disparity in technological capabilities has reinforced structural inequalities, as access to technology is directly linked to industrial growth, productivity, and competitiveness in global markets (Todaro & Smith, 2020; Adegboye, 2018). The inability of many Southern nations to establish strong industrial bases has left them dependent on the North for machinery, expertise, and intellectual property, thereby limiting their economic sovereignty (Chukwuemeka, 2020).

Industrialization remains a significant challenge for the global South due to inadequate infrastructure, limited capital investment, and weak research and development systems. While the North invested heavily in scientific research and technological innovation, colonial and postcolonial policies in the South largely neglected industrial development, focusing instead on raw material extraction (Rodney, 2018). Even in the post-independence era, industrialization efforts in Africa, Asia, and Latin America have been hampered by limited funding, political instability, and dependence on imported technologies (Oluwaseun, 2019). For instance, Nigeria◎s industrial sector continues to struggle with unreliable power supply, poor infrastructure, and low technological innovation, making it difficult to compete with Northern economies (Oladipo, 2021).

The technological gap also manifests in education and knowledge production. Countries in the North dominate scientific research, patent registration, and global knowledge dissemination, while the South contributes marginally to global innovation (Eze, 2022). This imbalance perpetuates intellectual dependency, where Southern countries import not only technologies but also the knowledge frameworks required to sustain innovation. Furthermore, the digital divide has exacerbated inequalities in the 21st century. While the North leads in digital economies, artificial intelligence, and biotechnology, many Southern states are still grappling with basic internet access and digital literacy (Okafor, 2021; Olawale, 2023).

Bridging this technological gap requires transformative investments in research and development, science education, and innovation-driven industries within the global South. Without addressing these industrialization challenges, Southern nations will remain locked in a cycle of dependency, unable to fully harness globalization for sustainable development. As such, technology transfer, capacity building, and South-South cooperation are essential strategies for reducing inequalities and narrowing the North-South divide.

**Trade Imbalances and Global Market Dynamics**

Trade has historically been one of the most critical factors sustaining the North-South divide, as the structure of global markets has consistently favored developed nations at the expense of developing economies. The global North dominates international trade through its control of high-value manufactured goods, advanced technology, and services, while the global South is often confined to exporting low-value raw materials and primary commodities (Todaro & Smith, 2020). This unequal trade relationship reproduces dependency and underdevelopment, as Southern economies earn less revenue from their exports while paying more for imports of industrial goods and technological products.

The imbalance is further entrenched by the unequal terms of trade. Many countries in the global South experience declining terms of trade because the prices of commodities such as oil, cocoa, and minerals fluctuate heavily in international markets, while the prices of manufactured goods produced by the North remain relatively stable and high (Rodney, 2018). For example, African cocoa-exporting countries earn only a fraction of the profits from the global chocolate industry, with most of the value retained by multinational corporations in Europe and North America (Eze, 2022). This demonstrates how structural inequalities in global trade trap developing nations in cycles of vulnerability and dependency.

Global trade policies and institutions have also reinforced these imbalances. Organizations such as the World Trade Organization (WTO) often operate in ways that privilege the North, allowing subsidies and protections for Northern agricultural producers while demanding liberalization from Southern economies (Okafor, 2021). As a result, farmers and industries in the South are unable to compete with heavily subsidized products from the North, leading to the collapse of local industries and loss of livelihoods (Oladipo, 2021). Additionally, global value chains are dominated by Northern corporations that dictate production standards, pricing, and market access, leaving Southern producers with limited bargaining power (Olawale, 2023). Addressing these imbalances requires reforms in global trade governance, fairer terms of trade, and greater regional cooperation among Southern states. Initiatives like the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) hold promise in reducing dependence on Northern markets by promoting intra-South trade and industrialization. Without such strategies, the global trade system will continue to reproduce and deepen the North-South divide.

**Debt Burden and Financial Constraints in the Global South**

One of the most pressing critical issues sustaining the North-South divide is the debt burden and financial constraints faced by developing nations. Debt dependency has become a defining feature of the global South◎s economic landscape, where governments often rely on loans from the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and bilateral creditors from the North to finance development projects and balance-of-payment deficits (Adegboye, 2018; Eze, 2022). While these loans are intended to stimulate growth, they frequently come with conditionalities such as privatization, liberalization, and fiscal austerity, which undermine local economies and social welfare systems. Consequently, debt repayment consumes a large portion of national budgets, diverting resources away from education, healthcare, and infrastructure development This cyclical indebtedness perpetuates dependency and ensures

that financial decision-making in the South is heavily influenced, if not dictated, by Northern institutions and donor agencies.

Moreover, the South◎s debt burden has political implications that reinforce global inequality. Structural adjustment programs imposed in the 1980s and 1990s weakened state capacity in many African and Latin American countries, reducing their ability to implement independent development policies (Oluwaseun, 2019). Instead, governments were compelled to align with neoliberal prescriptions that prioritized debt repayment over citizen welfare. This has created what some scholars call ◎financial colonialism,◎ where sovereignty is compromised by the dictates of Northern-controlled financial institutions (Olawale, 2023).

The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed the fragility of Southern economies, as debt service obligations limited the capacity of many countries to respond adequately to public health crises and economic downturns. While some temporary debt relief measures were introduced, they were insufficient to address the structural financial inequalities underpinning the North-South divide (Eze, 2022). In this context, the debt burden is not merely an economic challenge but a systemic issue that perpetuates dependency and reinforces global inequities.

For the South to overcome these financial constraints, there is a need for comprehensive debt restructuring, fairer lending practices, and stronger regional financial cooperation. Initiatives such as the push for debt cancellation and the creation of Southern-led financial institutions could reduce dependency on Northern creditors and create more sustainable pathways for development. Without addressing the debt crisis, the global South will remain trapped in cycles of financial subordination, limiting its ability to bridge the development gap with the North.

**Political Marginalization and Global Governance Structures**

Political marginalization within global governance structures is another critical factor sustaining the North-South divide. While the international system operates under the rhetoric of equality among sovereign states, the reality reflects an unequal distribution of power and decision-making authority. The global North wields disproportionate influence in major international institutions such as the United Nations (UN), International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and World Trade Organization (WTO), often using these platforms to advance policies that favor their strategic and economic interests (Chukwuemeka, 2020; Adegboye, 2018). For example, the veto power of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council reflects entrenched global hierarchies, with developing nations having little voice in matters of international peace and security (Oladipo, 2021).

The governance structures of global financial institutions further illustrate this marginalization. Voting power in the IMF and World Bank is based on financial contributions, which ensures that advanced economies in the North dominate decision-making. As a result, policies imposed on indebted Southern nations often reflect the ideological and economic priorities of the North, rather than the developmental realities of the South (Rodney, 2018; Eze, 2022). This lack of representation undermines the agency of the South in shaping the global economic order and perpetuates dependency on Northern actors. For instance, the structural adjustment programs implemented across Africa in the 1980s and 1990s reflected

neoliberal prescriptions designed in Washington and London, rather than policies informed by African contexts (Oluwaseun, 2019).

Furthermore, global governance is marked by unequal access to agenda-setting and norm-building processes. While Northern states and corporations dominate discussions on climate change, trade liberalization, and intellectual property rights, Southern states are often relegated to the position of policy takers rather than active shapers of global norms (Okafor, 2021). This exclusion reinforces structural inequalities by ensuring that global policies continue to reflect the interests of those already advantaged. The South◎s limited representation in the Group of Seven (G7) and other exclusive policy-making bodies illustrates this imbalance, even as the Group of Twenty (G20) attempts to provide more inclusivity (Olawale, 2023).

Political marginalization also undermines the South◎s ability to pursue collective interests. While blocs such as the Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) and the Group of 77 (G77) have historically sought to amplify the voices of developing nations, their effectiveness has often been curtailed by internal divisions, lack of resources, and the dominance of Northern-controlled institutions (Adegboye, 2018). As a result, the South continues to struggle for equitable representation and meaningful participation in global governance.

To address this challenge, reforming global governance structures to ensure greater inclusivity and equitable representation is essential. Strengthening regional bodies such as the African Union (AU), Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), and Latin American alliances can provide a stronger collective bargaining platform for Southern states. Without such reforms, the political marginalization of the South will continue to reproduce global inequalities and reinforce the North-South divide.

**Education, Knowledge Systems, and the Skills Divide**

Education and knowledge systems play a pivotal role in shaping the evolution of the North-South divide, as disparities in access to quality education and technological knowledge have reinforced inequalities between developed and developing nations. The global North dominates higher education, research, and innovation, while the South continues to grapple with low literacy rates, inadequate infrastructure, and limited investment in research and development. This imbalance produces a ◎skills divide,◎ where the North possesses the human capital necessary to drive economic transformation, while the South lags behind, unable to fully leverage its demographic potential (Chukwuemeka, 2020).

Colonialism laid the foundation for this divide by establishing educational systems in the South that were designed to produce clerks and administrators to serve colonial administrations, rather than scientists, innovators, or industrialists (Rodney, 2018). Post-independence, many Southern nations inherited these limited systems and have since struggled to realign them toward indigenous development needs. In Africa, for instance, the education sector continues to face challenges such as inadequate funding, poor infrastructure, and brain drain, where highly skilled professionals migrate to the North in search of better opportunities (Oluwaseun, 2019). This dynamic not only deprives Southern states of their best talent but also reinforces dependency on Northern expertise.

Another critical dimension of the skills divide lies in the unequal control of knowledge production and dissemination. Universities and research centers in the North dominate global rankings, publications, and patent registrations, which allows them to set the intellectual agenda and control knowledge flows (Eze, 2022). In the contrast, scholars and institutions in the South often struggle with limited research grants, outdated facilities, and marginalization in international academic networks (Okafor, 2021). This epistemic imbalance leads to intellectual dependency, where the South imports not only scientific knowledge but also development paradigms shaped by the North. In the 21st century, the digital revolution has deepened the skills divide. While the North leads in digital literacy, artificial intelligence, and advanced research, many Southern states are still addressing challenges of basic internet access, poor connectivity, and limited integration of technology in education (Olawale, 2023). Closing this divide requires deliberate investment in education, research, and human capital development in the South. Expanding access to quality education, promoting South-South academic cooperation, and reducing brain drain are critical steps toward addressing global knowledge inequalities. Without tackling the education and skills divide, the North-South gap will continue to widen, limiting the South◎s ability to achieve sustainable development and global competitiveness.

**Health Inequalities and Human Development Indicators**

Health inequalities remain one of the most visible manifestations of the North-South divide, as disparities in healthcare infrastructure, access to medical services, and life expectancy continue to widen between developed and developing nations. The global North enjoys advanced healthcare systems, high doctor-to-patient ratios, and access to cutting-edge medical technologies, while the global South struggles with weak health systems, shortages of healthcare professionals, and inadequate funding for public health. These structural disparities have contributed to significant differences in human development indicators such as life expectancy, infant mortality, and maternal health, reinforcing the broader cycle of inequality between North and South (Oladipo, 2021).

Colonial legacies contributed to these disparities by prioritizing extractive economic policies over investment in healthcare infrastructure in the South. During the colonial era, healthcare systems in Africa, Asia, and Latin America were designed primarily to protect European settlers and maintain the productivity of local labor, rather than to improve the general health of indigenous populations (Rodney, 2018). Post-independence, many Southern nations inherited fragile healthcare systems that were ill-prepared to address widespread health challenges. As a result, preventable diseases such as malaria, tuberculosis, and cholera remain prevalent in the South, while the North has largely eliminated such diseases through sustained investment in public health.

Global health inequalities are further exacerbated by limited access to essential medicines and vaccines. Intellectual property regimes and patent protections, controlled primarily by Northern pharmaceutical companies, restrict the ability of Southern countries to produce affordable generics (Eze, 2022). The COVID-19 pandemic revealed these inequities vividly, as vaccine distribution was heavily skewed in favor of the North, leaving much of Africa and parts of Asia with delayed or limited access to life-saving vaccines (Okafor, 2021).

Moreover, poor health outcomes in the South directly affect economic and social development. High disease burdens reduce labor productivity, increase poverty, and limit educational attainment, creating a vicious cycle of underdevelopment. In contrast, the North◎s strong healthcare systems contribute to a healthy workforce that drives sustained economic growth and innovation. The World Health Organization◎s human development indicators consistently reflect this gap, as many Southern nations remain at the lower end of global rankings despite decades of development interventions (Adegboye, 2018; Oladipo, 2021).

Addressing health inequalities requires increased investment in healthcare infrastructure, equitable access to medicines, and reforms in global health governance to prioritize the needs of the South. Strengthening public health systems, training healthcare professionals, and promoting regional cooperation in disease surveillance are critical steps toward bridging the divide. Without tackling these disparities, the health gap between North and South will continue to perpetuate broader inequalities in human development.

**Climate Change, Environmental Justice, and Resource Exploitation**

Climate change represents a critical dimension of the North-South divide, as the nations of the global South disproportionately bear the brunt of its devastating impacts despite contributing the least to global greenhouse gas emissions. Industrialized countries in the North, which have historically driven carbon-intensive growth through large-scale industrialization, continue to enjoy the benefits of economic prosperity, while developing countries in the South face rising sea levels, desertification, flooding, food insecurity, and displacement (Eze, 2022; Olawale, 2023). This unequal burden highlights the deep injustice embedded within the global climate crisis, positioning climate change as both an environmental and developmental challenge that reinforces the structural inequalities between North and South.

Resource exploitation further deepens this divide, as much of the South◎s natural wealth◎including crude oil, minerals, and forests◎has historically been extracted for the economic growth of the North. Colonial and postcolonial economic relations left many Southern nations dependent on the export of raw materials, often at the expense of environmental degradation and local livelihoods (Adegboye, 2018). For example, oil-rich regions in Nigeria◎s Niger Delta have suffered from widespread environmental pollution, oil spills, and destruction of ecosystems, while the revenues generated largely benefit multinational corporations and political elites aligned with Northern economic interests (Chukwuemeka, 2020). This extractive model undermines sustainable development and leaves local communities vulnerable to both poverty and ecological disasters.

**The Role of International Organizations in Shaping the Divide**

International organizations such as the United Nations (UN), the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank, and the World Trade Organization (WTO) play central roles in shaping the trajectory of the North-South divide. While these bodies were established to promote peace, security, and development, their operations have often reinforced global inequalities, privileging the interests of the North while constraining the autonomy of the South. For example, the IMF and World Bank◎s structural adjustment programs of the 1980s

and 1990s imposed neoliberal economic reforms on many African, Asian, and Latin American states, demanding austerity, privatization, and deregulation as conditions for loans. These reforms frequently undermined social services, worsened poverty, and deepened dependency on Northern financial systems (Adegboye, 2018; Okafor, 2021).

The decision-making structures of many international organizations also reflect entrenched power imbalances. In institutions like the IMF and World Bank, voting rights are heavily weighted in favor of wealthy Northern states, particularly the United States and European powers, while the voices of the South remain marginalized (Oluwaseun, 2019). This imbalance allows the North to dictate global financial and economic policies that disproportionately affect developing nations. Similarly, in the WTO, trade liberalization agreements often benefit industrialized economies by opening Southern markets for Northern goods, while barriers such as agricultural subsidies in the North continue to restrict the competitiveness of Southern exports (Chukwuemeka, 2020).

The UN has provided a platform for global dialogue on issues such as peace, security, and sustainable development. However, the dominance of Northern nations within the Security Council with permanent members enjoying veto power has frequently sidelined the priorities of the South (Oladipo, 2021). Even within development agendas like the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the South often faces challenges in implementation due to inadequate funding, technological gaps, and conditionalities tied to external assistance (Eze, 2022).

**Recommendations**

1. Developing countries should strengthen regional economic blocs such as the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), ECOWAS, and ASEAN to enhance intra-South trade, pool resources, and promote industrialization.
2. Institutions like the IMF, World Bank, and UN Security Council require restructuring to ensure equitable representation of Southern nations.
3. To bridge the knowledge and skills divide, Southern governments should prioritize funding for education, vocational training, and digital literacy programs.
4. The global North should honor commitments to climate financing, renewable energy support, and technology transfer, while Southern states must design policies that balance resource exploitation with environmental sustainability.
5. Southern countries should renegotiate unfair trade agreements and advocate for the cancellation or restructuring of unsustainable debts.

**Conclusion**

Ultimately, the North-South divide reflects both historical injustices and contemporary inequalities that must be addressed with urgency. A restructured international system grounded in fairness, equity, and mutual respect is required to dismantle entrenched hierarchies. If the global community fails to act, the divide will not only persist but deepen, undermining efforts toward global peace, sustainability, and shared prosperity. Therefore, the task of confronting the North-South divide is not only a challenge for the South but a collective responsibility for humanity in the pursuit of a just and inclusive world order

**References**

Adegboye, T. A. (2018). Colonial legacies and the political economy of underdevelopment in Africa. Lagos: University of Lagos Press.

Chukwuemeka, E. O. (2020). Global economic dependency and the North-South divide: African perspectives. Enugu: Fourth Dimension Publishers.

Eze, C. U. (2022). Knowledge production and global inequality: The politics of epistemic marginalization in Africa. Journal of African Studies and Development, 14(3), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.5897/JASD2022.0632

Oladipo, K. M. (2021). Global governance and structural inequalities: Rethinking the North-South divide. Nigerian Journal of International Affairs, 47(2), 112–134.

Olawale, S. T. (2023). Climate justice and sustainable development: Addressing the global South's vulnerabilities. African Journal of Development Studies, 19(1), 76–95.

Frank, A. G. (1967). Capitalism and underdevelopment in Latin America: Historical studies of Chile and Brazil. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Prebisch, R. (1962). The economic development of Latin America and its principal problems. Economic Bulletin for Latin America, 7(1), 1–22.

Okafor, J. N. (2021). Debt dependency and structural adjustment in Sub-Saharan Africa: Implications for sustainable development. Review of African Political Economy, 48(167), 210–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/03056244.2021.1890021

Oluwaseun, A. A. (2019). Power, politics, and global institutions: Understanding Africa's marginalization. Nigerian Journal of Political Science, 15(4), 55–74.

Rodney, W. (2018). How Europe underdeveloped Africa (Reprint ed.). Abuja: Panaf Publishing.